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#### Abstract

A $q$-bic form is a pairing $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ that is linear in the second variable and $q$-power Frobenius linear in the first; here, $V$ is a vector space over a field $\mathbf{k}$ containing the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$. This article develops a geometric theory of $q$-bic forms in the spirit of that of bilinear forms. I find two filtrations intrinsically attached to a $q$-bic form, with which I define a series of numerical invariants. These are used to classify, study automorphism group schemes of, and describe specialization relations in the parameter space of $q$-bic forms.


## INTRODUCTION

Eschewing the semi-linear description of the abstract, a $q$-bic form is a bilinear pairing $\beta$ between a finite-dimensional vector space $V$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$ and its Frobenuis twist $V^{[1]}:=\mathbf{k} \otimes_{\mathrm{Fr}, \mathbf{k}} V$, where the scalar action is twisted by the $q$-power Frobenius map $\mathrm{Fr}: \mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$. Equivalently, this is a linear map

$$
\beta: V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V \rightarrow \mathbf{k}
$$

This article develops an intrinsic theory of $q$-bic forms, with an eye towards the geometry of their hypersurfaces of isotropic vectors, the $q$-bic hypersurfaces described in more detail below; briefly, these are a large class of special hypersurfaces which are important to study as they exemplify many poorly understood positive characteristic phenomena, such as supersingularity [Tat65, SK79], unirationality despite being of general type [Shi74, Shi77], Fano varieties that do not carry minimal free rational curves [Con06, She12, Che24a], and inseparable Gauss maps [Wa156, Nom95, KP91]. They are extremal in many respects, such as in relation to $F$-singularity measures [KKP ${ }^{+}$22], and arise in relation to with Deligne-Lusztig theory [Lus76, DL76, Li23], unitary Shimura varieties [Vol10, LZ22, LTX ${ }^{+}$22], and finite Hermitian geometries [BC66, Seg65, Hir79]. The theory developed here opens up new deformation- and moduli-theoretic techniques in the study of these hypersurfaces, already yielding new results and analogies, as explored in the companion papers [Che23, Che24b].

To begin to appreciate the main aspects of the theory of $q$-bic forms, assume from now on that the base field $\mathbf{k}$ contains the finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$, so that the $q$-power Frobenius is a nontrivial endomorphism of $\mathbf{k}$. A $q$-bic form $\beta$ then pairs two distinct $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces which are nonetheless related by the canonical $q$-linear map $V \rightarrow V^{[1]}$ given by $v \mapsto v^{[1]}:=1 \otimes v$. To emphasize: this map is not $\mathbf{k}$ linear and is not a bijection if $\mathbf{k}$ is imperfect. In any case, a basis $V=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$ induces a basis $V^{[1]}=\left\langle e_{1}^{[1]}, \ldots, e_{n}^{[1]}\right\rangle$ and the $q$-bic form $\beta$ may be explicitly understood through its Gram matrix:

$$
\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right):=\left(\beta\left(e_{i}^{[1]}, e_{j}\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n}
$$

Moreover, if $\left(e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \cdot A^{\vee}$ is another basis of $V$, related to the original via an invertible matrix $A$, then the two Gram matrices are related by $q$-twisted conjugation:

$$
\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}\right)=A^{[1], V} \cdot \operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \cdot A
$$

where $A^{[1], V}$ is obtained from the transpose of $A$ by raising each matrix entry to the $q$-th power. In concrete terms, this article studies the invariants, orbits, and stabilizers of $q$-twisted conjugation.

Returning to the intrinsic situation, contemplate: what are invariants of $\beta$ ? The key observation is that the Frobenius map makes it possible to iteratively take left and right orthogonals, thereby
canonically attaching two sequences of vector spaces to $\beta$. Left orthogonals give rise to a sequence of subspaces of various Frobenius twists of $V$, collectively referred to as the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration; for instance, the first space is the left kernel $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]}=V^{\perp}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{\vee}: V^{[1]} \rightarrow V^{\vee}\right)$. Right orthogonals give rise to a finite filtration on $V$, the $\perp$-filtration, which has the form

$$
\text { P.V : }\{0\}=: \mathrm{P}_{-1} V \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{1} V \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{3} V \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{-} V \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{+} V \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{4} V \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{2} V \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{0} V=: V
$$

where the odd- and even-indexed pieces form increasing and decreasing filtrations, limiting to subspaces $\mathrm{P}_{-} V$ and $\mathrm{P}_{+} V$, respectively; this time, the first piece is $\mathrm{P}_{1} V=V^{[1], \perp}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta: V \rightarrow V^{[1], V}\right)$. Numerical invariants of $\beta$ are then obtained by taking dimensions of graded pieces of this filtration: for each $m \geq 1$, set $\epsilon:=(-1)^{m}$, and

$$
a:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{+} V / \mathrm{P}_{-} V, \quad a_{m}:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1} V / \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1} V, \quad b_{m}:=a_{m}-a_{m+1}
$$

The first result of this article classify $\beta$ in terms of its type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ and properties of its $\perp^{[\cdot]}$. filtration. This is simplest over an algebraically closed field, where $\beta$ is completely classified by its type. The finitely many isomorphism classes may be described in terms of a normal form for its Gram matrix. In the following statement, write $\mathbf{1}$ for the 1 -by- 1 identity matrix, $\mathbf{N}_{m}$ for the $m$-by- $m$ Jordan block with 0 on the diagonal, and $\oplus$ for the block diagonal sum of matrices:

Theorem A. - Let $(V, \beta)$ be a $q$-bic form of type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ over an algebraically closed field $\mathbf{k}$. Then there exists a basis $V=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\mathbf{1}^{\oplus a} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b_{m}}\right)
$$

See 4.2. Over an arbitrary field $\mathbf{k}$, such a basis exists if and only if the $\perp^{[\cdot \cdot]}$-filtration admits a purely inseparable descent to a $V$, and if a certain Galois cohomology class for a finite unitary group vanishes: see 4.1 and 2.7. The power of the result lies in the fact that the invariants $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ are readily computable, yielding an easy way to determine the isomorphism class of a $q$-bic form.

The basis exhibiting $\beta$ in the normal form above is never unique, meaning that $q$-bic forms admit many automorphisms. The next result describes the basic structure of the automorphism group scheme $\operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}$ of a $q$-bic form $(V, \beta)$. This group is typically of positive dimension and nonreduced; the following computes its dimension and that of its Lie algebra in terms of the type of $\beta$ :

Theorem B. - Let $(V, \beta)$ be a $q$-bic form of type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Lie}^{\operatorname{Aut}}(V, \beta) & =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(V, V^{[1], \perp}\right)=\left(a+\sum_{m \geq 1} m b_{m}\right)\left(\sum_{m \geq 1} b_{m}\right) \text {, and } \\
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)} & =\sum_{k \geq 1}\left[k\left(b_{2 k-1}^{2}+b_{2 k}^{2}\right)+\left(a+\sum_{m \geq 2 k} m b_{m}\right) b_{2 k-1}+2 k\left(\sum_{m \geq 2 k+1} b_{m}\right) b_{2 k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lie algebra statement is 5.5 , where the two vector spaces are in fact canonically identified, and the second dimension statement is 5.15 . The proof proceeds by identifying the reduced subgroup scheme of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}$, say when $\mathbf{k}$ is perfect, with the subgroup of automorphisms that additionally preserve a descent of the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration to $V$, see 5.13 ; the latter is shown to be reduced in 5.12 by studying infinitesimal deformations of the identity automorphism. This relies on an observation 5.11, which may be of independent interest, that produces canonical lifts of infinitesimal deformations, up to a Frobenius twist, in certain situations.

Consider now the parameter space of $q$-bic forms on the fixed $n$-dimensional vector space $V$ :

$$
q-\text { bics }_{V}:=\mathbf{A}\left(V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V\right)^{\vee}:=\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}^{*}\left(V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V\right) .
$$

Via the Gram matrix construction, this may be identified with the affine space of $n$-by- $n$ matrices. Orbits of the natural $\mathbf{G L}_{V}$ action, corresponding to $q$-twisted conjugation of matrices, are determined

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c|ccccccccc}
\mathbf{b} & \mathbf{1}^{5} & \mathbf{1}^{3} \mathbf{N}_{2} & \mathbf{1} \mathbf{N}_{4} & \mathbf{N}_{5} & \mathbf{1}^{2} \mathbf{N}_{3} & \mathbf{1} \mathbf{N}_{2}^{2} & \mathbf{0 1}^{4} & \mathbf{N}_{2} \mathbf{N}_{3} & \mathbf{0 1}^{2} \mathbf{N}_{2} \\
\hline \operatorname{dim} q-\text { bics }_{V, \mathbf{b}} & 25 & 24 & 23 & 22 & 21 & 21 & 20 & 20 & 19
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

FIGURE 1. Immediate specialization relations amongst 5-dimensional $q$-bic forms and dimensions of the corresponding strata, up to the first few with nontrivial radical.
by Theorem A as the locally closed subschemes

$$
q-\operatorname{bics}_{V, \operatorname{type}(\beta)}:=\left\{\left[\beta^{\prime}\right] \in q-\operatorname{bics}_{V} \mid \operatorname{type}(\beta)=\operatorname{type}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

parameterizing $q$-bic forms with the same type as a given form $\beta$; as usual, this is a smooth and irreducible subscheme, and its codimension is $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}$, which is determined by Theorem B.

Taken together, these subschemes yield a finite stratification of the space $q$-bics ${ }_{V}$. The final results of this article partially characterize the closure relations amongst the strata in terms of inequalities amongst types. For a $q$-bic form $\beta$ of type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ and each integer $m \geq 1$, write

$$
\Psi_{m}(\beta):= \begin{cases}b_{2 k-1}+2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1}(k-\ell) b_{2 \ell-1} & \text { if } m=2 k-1 \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \ell b_{2 \ell}+k\left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} b_{2 \ell-1}+\sum_{\ell \geq k} b_{2 \ell}\right) & \text { if } m=2 k\end{cases}
$$

and $\Theta_{m}(\beta):=\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{2 k-1}$. With this notation, the result is as follows:
Theorem C. - Let $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ be $q$-bic forms on a $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $V$.
(i) If the orbit closure of $\beta$ contains $\beta^{\prime}$, then $\Psi_{m}(\beta) \leq \Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m \geq 1$.
(ii) If $\Psi_{m}(\beta) \leq \Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Theta_{m}(\beta) \leq \Theta_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m \geq 1$, then the orbit closure of $\beta$ contains $\beta^{\prime}$.

See 6.2 and 6.5. Figure 1 illustrates the result for 5 -dimensional $q$-bic forms. Clearly, this can be sharpened: for instance, the hypothesis in (ii) can be relaxed. See 6.9 for further comments and questions about the moduli of $q$-bic forms.

Applications and interrelations. - Isotropic lines for a nonzero $q$-bic form $\beta$ on $V$ are parameterized by a degree $q+1$ hypersurface $X$ in the projective space $\mathbf{P} V$ : such is a $q$-bic hypersurface. For example, if $\beta$ admits $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus n}$ as a Gram matrix, then $X$ is the Fermat hypersurface of degree $q+1$. As discussed already, smooth $q$-bic hypersurfaces have long been of recurring interest for many reasons; see also [Che22, pp.7-11] for further references and discussion. The theory developed here provides powerful new methods to the study of these objects.

There have been a few precedents to this circle of ideas in the literature: Thinking of $q$-bic hypersurfaces in terms of a bilinear form is related to the study of finite Hermitian varieties, as in [BC66, Seg65]. This perspective is also adopted by [Shi01] in a spirit closer to that of the present article. The classification of $q$-conjugacy classes of matrices has been considered in varying degrees of generality: for nonsingular matrices, this follows from Lang's Lemma, see [Lan56, Theorem 1], and can be found in [Hef85, Bea90]; for corank 1 matrices, this is [HH16, Proposition 1]; and for general matrices, this is $\left[\mathrm{KKP}^{+} 22\right.$, Theorem 7.1].

Outline. - Basic definitions and constructions are given in §1. The relationship between $q$-bic forms and Hermitian forms over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ is explained in §2; in particular, 2.7 shows that any nonsingular $q$-bic form over a separably closed field admits an orthonormal basis. The $\perp$ - and $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtrations
are constructed in $\S 3$, and certain basic properties such as symmetry and the meaning of the $b_{m}$ are established: see 3.6 and 3.8. Classification of $q$-bic forms by their numerical invariants is accomplished in §4. Automorphism group schemes of $q$-bic forms are studied in $\S 5$. The article closes in $\S 6$ with a basic study of the moduli space of $q$-bic forms.

Acknowledgements. - This paper is based and expands on Chapter 1 of my thesis [Che22]. Much thanks goes to Aise Johan de Jong for sharing an interest on this and related topics over the years. Thanks also to Jason Starr and Bjorn Poonen for helpful comments. During the initial stages of this work, I was partially supported by an NSERC Postgraduate Scholarship.

## 1. BASIC NOTIONS

This Section begins with the basic definitions, constructions, and properties of $q$-bic forms. For the schematic constructions later, this material is developed in the setting of finite projective modules over an $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$-algebra $R$. To set notation: Write Fr: $R \rightarrow R$ for the $q$-power Frobenius morphism. Given an $R$-module $M$, write $M^{\vee}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, R)$ for its dual; the double dual is tacitly identified with $M$ itself. For each integer $i \geq 0$, write $M^{[i]}:=R \otimes_{\mathrm{Fr}^{i}, R} M$ for the $i$-th Frobenius twist of $M$ : the (left) $R$-module on which the action of $R$ is twisted by the $q^{i}$-power Frobenius. The canonical map $M \rightarrow M^{[i]}$ given by $m \mapsto m^{[i]}:=1 \otimes m$ is the universal Fr $^{i}$-linear map out of $M$. Given a submodule $N^{\prime} \subseteq M^{[i]}$, a submodule $N \subseteq M$ such that $N^{[i]}=N^{\prime}$ is called a Frobenius descent of $N^{\prime}$ to $M$.
1.1. Definitions. - A $q$-bic form over $R$ is a pair ( $M, \beta$ ) consisting of an $R$-module $M$ and an $R$-linear map $\beta: M^{[1]} \otimes_{R} M \rightarrow R$. A morphism $\varphi:\left(M_{1}, \beta_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, \beta_{2}\right)$ between two $q$-bic forms is a morphism $\varphi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ of $R$-modules such that, for every $m \in M_{1}$ and $m^{\prime} \in M_{1}^{[1]}$,

$$
\beta_{1}\left(m^{\prime}, m\right)=\beta_{2}\left(\varphi^{[1]}\left(m^{\prime}\right), \varphi(m)\right)
$$

where $\varphi^{[1]}: M_{1}^{[1]} \rightarrow M_{2}^{[1]}$ is the Frobenius twist of $\varphi$. The morphism $\varphi$ is an isomorphism if the underlying module map is an isomorphism.

Adjunction induces two mutually dual $R$-linear maps which, by an abuse of notation, are denoted

$$
\beta: M \rightarrow M^{[1], \vee} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\vee}: M^{[1]} \rightarrow M^{\vee}
$$

The form $(M, \beta)$ is said to be nondegenerate if the map $\beta: M \rightarrow M^{[1]}$ is injective, and nonsingular if this map is an isomorphism.
1.2. Gram matrices. - Suppose that $M$ is, moreover, a finite free $R$-module. The Gram matrix of a $q$-bic form $\beta$ with respect to a basis $\varphi: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R \cdot e_{i} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ is the $n$-by- $n$ matrix

$$
\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right):=\left(\beta\left(\varphi\left(e_{i}\right)^{[1]}, \varphi\left(e_{j}\right)\right)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n} .
$$

Equivalently, this is the matrix of the map $\beta: M \rightarrow M^{[1], \mathrm{V}}$ with respect to the bases $\varphi: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R \cdot e_{i} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ and $\varphi^{[1]}: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R \cdot e_{i}^{[1]} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{[1]}$.

Given another basis $\varphi^{\prime}: \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R \cdot e_{i}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$, view the change of basis isomorphism $A:=\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi^{\prime}$ as an invertible $n$-by- $n$ matrix over $R$. Its Frobenius twist $A^{[1]}$ is the matrix obtained from $A$ by taking $q$-powers entrywise, and the two Gram matrices are related by

$$
\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{n}^{\prime}\right)=A^{[1], v} \cdot \operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \cdot A .
$$

It is now clear that a $q$-bic form $\beta$ on a free module $M$ is nonsingular if and only if its Gram matrix in some-equivalently, any-basis is invertible.
1.3. Standard forms. - Gram matrices provide a convenient way to encode $q$-bic forms. Given an $n$-by- $n$ matrix $B$ over $R$, let $\left(R^{\oplus n}, B\right)$ denote the unique $q$-bic form with Gram matrix $B$ in the given basis. Particularly simple, and important, are the following: For each integer $m \geq 1$, let

$$
\mathbf{N}_{m}:=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & \\
& 0 & \ldots & \\
& \ldots & & \\
& \ldots & 0 & 1 \\
& \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

denote the $m$-by- $m$ Jordan block with 0 on the diagonal, and write 1 for the 1-by- 1 identity matrix. Given two matrices $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, write $B_{1} \oplus B_{2}$ for their block diagonal sum. Let $\mathbf{b}:=\left(a ; b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers such that $n=a+\sum_{m \geq 1} m b_{m}$. The $q$-bic form

$$
\left(R^{\oplus n}, \mathbf{1}^{\oplus a} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b_{m}}\right)\right)
$$

is the standard $q$-bic form of type $\mathbf{b}$.
1.4. Orthogonals. - To discuss orthogonals, consider generally a map $\beta: M_{2} \otimes_{R} M_{1} \rightarrow R$ of $R$ modules, viewed as a pairing between $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Given submodules $N_{i} \subseteq M_{i}$ for $i=1$, 2, write

$$
N_{1}^{\perp}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{2} \xrightarrow{\beta^{\vee}} M_{1}^{\vee} \rightarrow N_{1}^{\vee}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad N_{2}^{\perp}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{1} \xrightarrow{\beta} M_{2}^{\vee} \rightarrow N_{2}^{\vee}\right)
$$

These are the orthogonals, with respect to $\beta$, of $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$, respectively. The orthogonals $M_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq M_{1}$ and $M_{1}^{\perp} \subseteq M_{2}$ are called the kernels of $\beta$ (and $\beta^{\vee}$ ). It is formal to see that taking orthogonals is an inclusion-reversing operation. Precisely: for submodules $N_{1}$ and $N_{1}^{\prime}$ of $M_{1}$ :
(i) if $N_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq N_{1}$, then $N_{1}^{\prime \perp} \supseteq N_{1}^{\perp}$;
(ii) $\left(N_{1}+N_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}=N_{1}^{\perp} \cap N_{1}^{\prime \perp}$; and
(iii) $\left(N_{1} \cap N_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \supseteq N_{1}^{\perp}+N_{1}^{\prime \perp}$.

With further assumptions, orthogonals behave as expected:
1.5. Lemma. - Suppose that the image of the map $\beta: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}^{\vee}$ is a local direct summand, and that $N_{1}^{\prime}, N_{1} \subseteq M_{1}$ are local direct summands. Then there are exact sequences:
(i) $0 \rightarrow M_{2}^{\perp} \rightarrow M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}^{\vee} \rightarrow M_{1}^{\perp, \vee} \rightarrow 0$,
(ii) $0 \rightarrow N_{1} \cap M_{2}^{\perp} \rightarrow N_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}^{\vee} \rightarrow N_{1}^{\perp, \vee} \rightarrow 0$, and
(iii) $0 \rightarrow N_{1} \cap M_{2}^{\perp} / N_{1}^{\prime} \cap M_{2}^{\perp} \rightarrow N_{1} / N_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow\left(N_{1}^{\prime \perp} / N_{1}^{\perp}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow 0$ if additionally $N_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq N_{1}$.

The operation of taking orthogonals further satisfy:
(iv) $N_{1}^{\perp, \perp}=M_{2}^{\perp}+N_{1}$, that is, reflexivity, and
(v) $\left(N_{1} \cap N_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}=N_{1}^{\perp}+N_{1}^{\prime \perp}$ if additionally $M_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq N_{1}$.

Proof. For (i), it remains to identify $\operatorname{coker}(\beta)$ with $M_{1}^{\perp, \vee}$ for the kernel-cokernel exact sequence of $\beta: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}^{\vee}$. The hypotheses imply that the cokernel is a local direct summand of $M_{2}^{\vee}$, so that dualizing the sequence yields an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\beta)^{\vee} \rightarrow M_{2} \xrightarrow{\beta^{\vee}} M_{1}^{\vee} \rightarrow M_{2}^{\perp, \vee} \rightarrow 0
$$

This identifies $\operatorname{coker}(\beta)^{\vee}$ as $\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{\vee}\right)=: M_{1}^{\perp}$. Reflexivity shows $\operatorname{coker}(\beta)=M_{1}^{\perp, \vee}$. Sequence (ii) now follows upon restricting $\beta$ to $N_{1}$. Comparing this sequence for nested submodules $N_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq N_{1}$ then gives a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
0 \longrightarrow & N_{1}^{\prime} \cap M_{2}^{\perp} \longrightarrow \\
\text { I } \longrightarrow & N_{1}^{\prime} \longrightarrow\left(M_{2} / N_{1}^{\prime \perp}\right)^{\vee} \longrightarrow \\
\text { I } \longrightarrow \\
& \text { ค } \\
0 \longrightarrow & N_{1} \cap M_{2}^{\perp} \longrightarrow \\
& N_{1} \longrightarrow\left(M_{2} / N_{1}^{\perp}\right)^{\vee} \longrightarrow
\end{array}
$$

from which (iii) follows upon taking cokernels.

For (iv), note that $N_{1}^{\perp, \perp}=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}^{\vee} \rightarrow N_{1}^{\perp, \vee}\right)=M_{2}^{\perp}+\beta^{-1}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(M_{2}^{\vee} \rightarrow N_{1}^{\perp, \vee}\right)\right)$, so that the result follows from (ii), which shows that the second term is $N_{1}$.

For (v), apply 1.4(ii) to $N_{1}^{\perp}$ and $N_{1}^{\prime \perp}$, take an orthogonal, apply (iv), and use the assumption $M_{2}^{\perp} \subseteq N_{1}$ to obtain the first equality in

$$
N_{1}^{\perp}+N_{1}^{\prime \perp}=\left(N_{1} \cap\left(N_{1}^{\prime}+M_{2}^{\perp}\right)\right)^{\perp}=\left(N_{1} \cap N_{1}^{\prime}+M_{2}^{\perp}\right)^{\perp}=\left(N_{1} \cap N_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}
$$

The second equality comes from 1.6 below, and the final equality comes from 1.4(ii) and (iv).
1.6. Lemma. - Let $M$ be an R-module and $K, N, N^{\prime} \subseteq M$ submodules. If $K \subseteq N$, then

$$
N \cap\left(N^{\prime}+K\right)=N \cap N^{\prime}+K
$$

Proof. The inclusion " $\supseteq$ " always holds and is clear. For " $\subseteq$ ", let $x \in N \cap\left(N^{\prime}+K\right)$ and view it as an element of $N$. Being in the intersection means there are $x^{\prime} \in N^{\prime}$ and $y \in K$ such that $x=x^{\prime}+y$. But $x^{\prime}=x-y \in N$ since $K \subseteq N$, so $x^{\prime} \in N \cap N^{\prime}$. This shows $x \in N \cap N^{\prime}+K$, as required.
1.7. Frobenius twists and orthogonals. - Return to the situation of a $q$-bic form $(M, \beta)$ over $R$. Twisting by Frobenius yields a sequence of associated $q$-bic forms $\left(M^{[i]}, \beta^{[i]}\right)$ for each integer $i \geq 0$; the $i$-th Frobenius twisted form is characterized by the formula

$$
\beta^{[i]}\left(m^{\prime[i]}, m^{[i]}\right)=\beta\left(m^{\prime}, m\right)^{q^{i}} \quad \text { for every } m \in M \text { and } m^{\prime} \in M^{[1]}
$$

Observe that the module $M^{[i]}$ is involved with the two Frobenius twists of $\beta$, namely $\beta^{[i-1]}$ and $\beta^{[i]}$. Accordingly, a submodule $N \subseteq M^{[i]}$ induces two orthogonals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{\perp^{[i-1]}} & :=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{[i-1]}: M^{[i-1]} \rightarrow M^{[i], \vee} \rightarrow N^{\vee}\right), \text { and } \\
N^{\perp^{[i]}} & :=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{[i], \vee}: M^{[i+1]} \rightarrow M^{[i], \vee} \rightarrow N^{\vee}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

These are the ( $i-1$ )-th and $i$-th Frobenius-twisted orthogonals of $N$.
In this setting, suppose further that taking Frobenius twists commutes with taking kernels: for instance, suppose that $R$ is regular so that the Frobenius morphism is flat by [Kun69]; or suppose that the image in $N^{\vee}$ of $\beta^{[i-1]}$ or $\beta^{[i], \vee}$ has vanishing $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{R}$. Then the Frobenius-twisted orthogonal commutes with taking Frobenius twists in the following sense: for any integer $j \geq 0$,

$$
N^{\perp^{[i-1]},[j]}=N^{[j], \perp \perp^{[i+j-1]}} \quad \text { and } \quad N^{\perp^{[i]},[j]}=N^{[j], \perp \perp^{[i+j]}}
$$

as submodules of $M^{[i+j-1]}$ and $M^{[i+j+1]}$, respectively.
1.8. Total orthogonals and complements. - The total orthogonal of a submodule $N \subseteq M$ is

$$
N^{\perp} \cap N^{[2], \perp^{[1]}}=\left\{m^{\prime} \in M^{[1]} \mid \beta\left(m^{\prime}, n\right)=\beta^{[1]}\left(n^{[2]}, m^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { for all } n \in N\right\}
$$

This is a submodule of $M^{[1]}$ and, in general, it need not have a Frobenius descent to $M$. The radical of $(M, \beta)$ is the total orthogonal of $M$ itself, and may be written as

$$
\operatorname{rad}(\beta)=\left\{m^{\prime} \in M^{[1]} \mid \beta\left(m^{\prime}, m\right)=\beta^{[1]}\left(m^{[2]}, m^{\prime}\right)=0 \text { for all } m \in M\right\}
$$

In particular, $\beta^{[1]}$ passes to the quotient and induces a $q$-bic form on $M^{[1]} / \operatorname{rad}(\beta)$.
An orthogonal complement to a submodule $M^{\prime}$ is a submodule $M^{\prime \prime}$ such that $M=M^{\prime} \oplus M^{\prime \prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime[1]}$ lies in the total orthogonal of $M^{\prime}$. This situation is signified by

$$
(M, \beta)=\left(M^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \perp\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

where $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ denote the restriction of $\beta$ to $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$, respectively; when the underlying modules are understood, also write $\beta=\beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta^{\prime \prime}$. Orthogonal complements need not exist, and when they do, need not be unique: one exists if and only if the total orthogonal contains a module complement that descends to $M$; it is unique if and only if the total orthogonal is the complement.

## 2. Hermitian forms

A $q$-bic form $(M, \beta)$ over $R$ linearizes a biadditive map $M \times M \rightarrow R$ which is $R$-linear in the second variable, but only $q$-linear in the first. In the case that $R=\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$, such forms are sesquilinear with respect the $q$-power Frobenius, and the Hermitian property gives a notion of symmetry. In general, the Hermitian condition does not make sense. This Section identifies a distinguished subset of any $q$-bic form consisting of those elements that satisfy the Hermitian equation. This construction provides an invariant of $q$-bic forms, sensitive to the arithmetic of its ring of definition.
2.1. Hermitian elements. - An element $m \in M$ is said to be Hermitian if

$$
\beta(-, m)=\beta^{[1]}\left(m^{[2]},-\right) \text { as elements of } M^{[1], v}
$$

or equivalently, if $\beta\left(n^{[1]}, m\right)=\beta\left(m^{[1]}, n\right)^{q}$ for all $n \in M$, see 1.7. It is straightforward to check that the set $M_{\text {Herm }}$ of Hermitian elements is a vector space over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ and that $\beta\left(m_{1}^{[1]}, m_{2}\right) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ for every $m_{1}, m_{2} \in M_{\text {Herm }}$. Therefore the restriction of $\beta$ to the space of Hermitian elements gives

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{Herm}}: M_{\mathrm{Herm}}^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}} M_{\mathrm{Herm}} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}
$$

a Hermitian form for the quadratic extension $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}} / \mathbf{F}_{q}$. This partially justifies the nomenclature: if $M$ is a free module with basis $\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$ consisting of Hermitian elements, then the associated Gram matrix is a Hermitian matrix over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ in that it satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)^{\vee}=\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)^{[1]}
$$

The space $M_{\text {Herm }}$ may not be of finite dimension over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$. For instance, it contains the preimage in $M$ of the radical of $\beta$. It is therefore helpful to note that taking Hermitian vectors is compatible with orthogonal decompositions:
2.2. Lemma. - An orthogonal decomposition $(M, \beta)=\left(M^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \perp\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ induces an orthogonal decomposition of Hermitian spaces

$$
\left(M_{\text {Herm }}, \beta_{\text {Herm }}\right)=\left(M_{\text {Herm }}^{\prime}, \beta_{\text {Herm }}^{\prime}\right) \perp\left(M_{\text {Herm }}^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{\text {Herm }}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $m \in M_{\text {Herm }}$, and let $m=m^{\prime}+m^{\prime \prime}$ be its decomposition with $m^{\prime} \in M^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime \prime} \in M^{\prime \prime}$. Consider $m^{\prime}$. Since $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ are orthogonal, for every $n \in M^{\prime}$,

$$
\beta^{\prime}\left(n^{[1]}, m^{\prime}\right)=\beta\left(n^{[1]}, m^{\prime}+m^{\prime \prime}\right)=\beta\left(\left(m^{\prime}+m^{\prime \prime}\right)^{[1]}, n\right)^{q}=\beta^{\prime}\left(m^{\prime[1]}, n\right)^{q}
$$

Therefore $m^{\prime} \in M_{\text {Herm }}^{\prime}$. An analogous argument shows $m^{\prime \prime} \in M_{\text {Herm }}^{\prime \prime}$.
In the remainder of this Section, let $(V, \beta)$ be a $q$-bic form over a field $\mathbf{k}$ containing $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$.
2.3. Scheme of Hermitan vectors. - The subgroup $V_{\text {Herm }}$ of Hermitian vectors of $V$ may be endowed with the structure of a closed subgroup scheme of the affine space $\mathbf{A} V:=\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Sym} V^{\vee}$ on $V$. Namely, the functor taking a k-algebra $R$ to the group of Hermitian elements of the $q$-bic form ( $V \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R, \beta \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R$ ) over $R$ obtained by extension of scalars is represented by the closed subscheme

$$
\mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}=\mathrm{V}\left(\beta(,-)-\beta^{[1]}\left(-{ }^{[2]},\right)\right)
$$

cut out by the difference of two morphisms $\mathbf{A} V \rightarrow \mathbf{A} V^{[1], V}$ which are induced by the linear maps

$$
v \mapsto \beta(, v) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(V^{[1]}, V^{\vee}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad v \mapsto \beta^{[1]}\left(v^{[2]}, \quad\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(V^{[1]}, V^{\vee,[2]}\right)
$$

where $V^{\vee,[2]}$ is identified as the subspace of $q^{2}$-powers in $\operatorname{Sym}^{q^{2}}\left(V^{\vee}\right) \subset \Gamma\left(\mathbf{A} V, \mathscr{O}_{\mathrm{A} V}\right)$. Concretely, choose a basis $V=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$, let $B:=\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ be the associated Gram matrix, and let
$\mathbf{x}^{\vee}:=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be the associated coordinates for $\mathbf{A} V \cong \mathrm{~A}^{n}$. Then $\mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}$ is the closed subscheme given by the system of equations

$$
B \mathbf{x}-B^{[1], v} \mathbf{x}^{[2]}=0 .
$$

Observe furthermore that, by $2.1, \mathrm{~A} V_{\text {Herm }}$ is a scheme of vector spaces over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$, and is equipped with a Hermitian bilinear form

$$
\mathbf{A} \beta_{\text {Herm }}: \mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}^{[1]} \times_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{q^{2}, \mathbf{k}}
$$

with values in the constant group scheme associated with $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$.
2.4. Examples. - Schemes of Hermitian vectors for the standard forms of 1.3 are determined with the help of 2.2 by the following two computations:

First, let $(V, \beta)=\left(\mathbf{k}^{\oplus n}, \mathbf{N}_{n}\right)$ be the standard form with just one nilpotent block. Then

$$
\mathrm{A} V_{\text {Herm }}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] /\left(x_{2}, x_{3}-x_{1}^{q^{2}}, \ldots, x_{n}-x_{n-2}^{q^{2}}, x_{n-1}^{q^{2}}\right) .
$$

The structure of this scheme depends on the parity of $n$ :

$$
\frac{\mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]}{\left(x_{2}, x_{3}-x_{1}^{q^{2}}, \ldots, x_{n}-x_{n-2}^{q^{2}}, x_{n-1}^{q^{2}}\right)} \cong \begin{cases}\mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}\right] & \text { if } n \text { is odd, and } \\ \mathbf{k}\left[x_{1}\right] /\left(x_{1}^{q^{n}}\right) & \text { if } n \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$

Indeed, the first $n-1$ equations imply that the even-indexed variables vanish, and that $x_{2 k+1}$ is the $q^{2 k}$-power of $x_{1}$. As for the final equation: when $n$ is odd, this is implied by the vanishing of the even-indexed variables, whereas when $n$ is even, this shows that $x_{n-1}^{q^{2}}=x_{1}^{q^{n}}=0$.

Second, let $V=\langle e\rangle$ be a 1-dimensional vector space and let $\beta\left(e^{[1]}, e\right)=\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{A} V_{\text {Herm }}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{k}[x] /\left(\lambda x-\lambda^{q} x^{q^{2}}\right)
$$

This is a form of the constant group scheme on $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ that splits with a $(q+1)$-th root of $\lambda$. By 2.2, this determines the structure the scheme of Hermitian vectors for all diagonal $q$-bic forms. This also suggests that the scheme is quite simple for all nonsingular $q$-bic forms. Indeed:
2.5. Proposition. - If $(V, \beta)$ is a nonsingular $q$-bic form, then $\mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}$ is an étale group scheme of degree $q^{2} n$ over $\mathbf{k}$, geometrically isomorphic to the constant group scheme associated with $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}^{\oplus n}$.
Proof. Since $\beta$ is nonsingular, the equations for $\mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}$ in 2.3 may be written as

$$
\mathbf{x}^{[2]}=B^{[1], V,-1} B \mathbf{x} .
$$

This is a system of $n$ equations in $n$ variables, with Jacobian equations the linear system given by $B^{[1], v,-1} B$. Since $\beta$ is nonsingular, this is of full rank, and so $\mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}$ is étale of degree $q^{2} n$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Since the group of points $\mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}\left(\mathbf{k}^{\text {sep }}\right)$ is a vector space over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ by $2.1, \mathbf{A} V_{\text {Herm }}$ must be a form of the constant group scheme associated with $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}^{\oplus n}$, see [Mil17, 2.16].

This implies that a nonsingular $q$-bic form is spanned by its Hermitian vectors after a finite separable field extension. This is not true in general: 2.4 shows that a form of type $\mathbf{N}_{2 k}$ has no nonzero Hermitian vectors.
2.6. Proposition. - If $(V, \beta)$ is a nonsingular $q$-bic form over a separably closed field $\mathbf{k}$, then the natural map $V_{\text {Herm }} \otimes_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}} \mathbf{k} \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The two $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces have the same dimension by 2.5 , so it suffices to show that the map is injective. If not, there is a linear relation in $V$ of the form

$$
v_{m+1}=a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} v_{m} \quad \text { with } m \geq 1, v_{i} \in V_{\text {Herm }} \text {, and } a_{i} \in \mathbf{k} \text {. }
$$

Choose such a relation with $m$ minimal. Minimality implies that $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ are linearly independent in $V$. Since $\beta$ is nonsingular, there exists $w \in V$ such that $\beta\left(w^{[1]}, v_{i}\right)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, and $\beta\left(w^{[1]}, v_{m}\right) \neq 0$; up to scaling $v_{m}$, this last value may be taken to be 1 . Since the $v_{i}$ are Hermitian,

$$
a_{m}=\beta\left(w^{[1]}, v_{m+1}\right)=\beta\left(v_{m+1}^{[1]}, w\right)^{q}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{q^{2}} \beta\left(v_{i}^{[1]}, w\right)^{q}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}^{q^{2}} \beta\left(w^{[1]}, v_{i}\right)=a_{m}^{q^{2}}
$$

so $a_{m} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$, whence $v_{m}^{\prime}:=v_{m+1}-a_{m} v_{m}$ lies in $V_{\text {Herm }}$ by 2.1. The relation $v_{m}^{\prime}=a_{1} v_{1}+\cdots+a_{m-1} v_{m-1}$ then contradicts the minimality of $m$, as required.

In particular, since Hermitian forms over $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$ always have an orthonormal basis, this implies that all nonsingular $q$-bic forms over a separably closed field have a Gram matrix given by the identity:
2.7. Corollary. - If $(V, \beta)$ is a nonsingular $q$-bic form over a separably closed field, then there exists a basis $V=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$ such that $\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\mathbf{1}^{\oplus n}$.

When $\mathbf{k}$ is separably closed, $V$ is spanned by its Hermitian vectors $V_{\text {Herm }}$ by 2.6 , and so the restriction map $\operatorname{Aut}(V, \beta) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{\text {Herm }}, \beta_{\text {Herm }}\right)$ from the automorphism group of a nonsingular $q$-bic form to that of its associated Hermitian form is an isomorphism. Combined with 2.7, Galois descent implies that isomorphism classes of nonsingular $q$-bic forms of dimension $n$ over a general field $\mathbf{k}$ are in bijection with forms of the standard Hermitian form on $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}^{\oplus}$ over $\mathbf{k}$. This implies:
2.8. Corollary. - Two nonsingular $q$-bic forms are isomorphic over $\mathbf{k}$ if and only if their group schemes of Hermitian vectors equipped with their Hermitian forms are isomorphic over $\mathbf{k}$.

## 3. Canonical filtrations

Although a $q$-bic form $\beta$ pairs distinct modules $M$ and $M^{[1]}$, the canonical $q$-linear map $M \rightarrow M^{[1]}$ makes it possible to iterate left and right orthogonals. This gives rise to two intrinsic filtrations whose interactions ultimately encode the structure of $\beta$. After their definition in 3.1 and 3.2, a symmetry relation is established in 3.6, and a series of numerical invariants of $\beta$ are defined in 3.7 and 3.9.
3.1. $\perp$-filtration. - The map $\beta: M \rightarrow M^{[1], \vee}$ gives rise to a sequence P.M of submodules of $M$ as follows: set $\mathrm{P}_{-1} M:=\{0\}$, and for each $i \geq 0$, inductively set

$$
\mathrm{P}_{i} M:=\mathrm{P}_{i-1} M^{[1], \perp}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta: M \rightarrow M^{[1], \vee} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{i-1} M^{[1], \mathrm{V}}\right) .
$$

For instance, $\mathrm{P}_{0} M=M$ is the entire module and $\mathrm{P}_{1} M=M^{[1], \perp}$ is the kernel of $\beta$. Induction with the inclusion-reversing property $1.4(\mathrm{i})$ implies that:

- the odd-indexed submodules form an increasing filtration $\mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} M \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{2 k+1} M$,
- the even-indexed submodules form a decreasing filtration $\mathrm{P}_{2 k} M \supseteq \mathrm{P}_{2 k+2} M$, and
- the odd-indexed submodules are totally isotropic $\mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} M \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} M^{[1], \perp}=: \mathrm{P}_{2 k} M$.

Therefore the sequence P.M fits into two interwoven filtrations

$$
\{0\}=\mathrm{P}_{-1} M \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{1} M \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{3} M \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{-} M \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{+} M \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{4} M \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{2} M \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{0} M=M
$$

called the $\perp$-filtration of $(M, \beta)$; here, $P_{-} M$ and $P_{+} M$ are the limiting submodules for the increasing odd-, and decreasing even-filtrations, respectively.
3.2. $\perp^{[\cdot]}$ - filtration. - The map $\beta^{\vee}: M^{[1]} \rightarrow M^{\vee}$ and its Frobenius twists give rise to a sequence of submodules $\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]} \subseteq M^{[i]}$ as follows: set $\mathrm{P}_{-1}^{\prime} M^{[-1]}:=\{0\}$, and for each $i \geq 0$, inductively set

$$
\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]}:=\mathrm{P}_{i-1}^{\prime} M^{[i-1], \perp^{[i-1]}}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{[i-1], V}: M^{[i]} \rightarrow M^{[i-1], V} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{i-1}^{\prime} M^{[i-1], V}\right),
$$

where notation is as in 1.7. For instance, $\mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime} M:=\mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime} M^{[0]}=M$ is the module itself and $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} M^{[1]}=M^{\perp}$ is the kernel of $\beta^{\vee}$. For each integer $j$, write

$$
\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i+j]}:=\left(\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]}\right)^{[j]}
$$

for the submodule of $M^{[i+j]}$ which for $j \geq 0$ is the $j$-th Frobenius twist of $\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]}$, and for $j \leq 0$ is the $j$-th Frobenius descent, if it exists; in the latter case, say that the $i$-th piece of the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration descends to $M^{[i+j]}$ over $R$. As with the $\perp$-filtration, the inclusion-reversing property 1.4(i) inductively implies that the modules fit together to yield interwoven filtrations, so that for each $i \geq 0$, there is a filtration of $M^{[i]}$ of the form:

$$
\{0\}=\mathrm{P}_{-1}^{\prime} M^{[i]} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} M^{[i]} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{3}^{\prime} M^{[i]} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{2}^{\prime} M^{[i]} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime} M^{[i]}=M^{[i]}
$$

Since the two filtrations are inductively defined via kernels of $\beta$ and $\beta^{\vee}$, it is straightforward to check that their formation is compatible with orthogonal decompositions:
3.3. Lemma. - If $(M, \beta)=\left(M^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right) \perp\left(M^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is an orthogonal decomposition, then for every $i$,

$$
\mathrm{P}_{i} M=\mathrm{P}_{i} M^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{P}_{i} M^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]}=\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{\prime[i]} \oplus \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{\prime \prime[i]}
$$

3.4. Example. - Consider the $\perp$ - and $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtrations for the standard forms defined in 1.3. Note that the filtrations are trivial when $(M, \beta)$ is nondegenerate, since they are constructed by taking iterated kernels of $\beta$ and $\beta^{\vee}$. So by 3.3, it remains to describe the filtrations when $\beta$ has a Gram matrix given by $\mathbf{N}_{n}$ for some basis $\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$ of $M$. A direct computation shows that the $\perp$-filtration has $n$ steps, given for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ by

$$
\mathrm{P}_{i} M= \begin{cases}\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{k} R \cdot e_{2 \ell-1} & \text { if } i=2 k-1, \text { and } \\ \left(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{k} R \cdot e_{2 \ell-1}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=2 k+1}^{n} R \cdot e_{j}\right) & \text { if } i=2 k\end{cases}
$$

In particular, $\mathrm{P}_{-} M=\mathrm{P}_{+} M$ is the span of the odd-indexed basis elements.
The $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration similarly has $n$ steps. Moreover, in this special case, each step of the filtration descends to $M$, and is given for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ by

$$
\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M= \begin{cases}\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{k} R \cdot e_{n-2 \ell+2} & \text { if } i=2 k-1, \text { and } \\ \left(\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{k} R \cdot e_{n-2 \ell+2}\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-2 k} R \cdot e_{j}\right) & \text { if } i=2 k\end{cases}
$$

For the remainder of the Section, specialize to the situation of a $q$-bic form $(V, \beta)$ on a finitedimensional vector space over a field $\mathbf{k}$.
3.5. Symmetry. - Dimensions of various pieces of the $\perp$ - and $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration provide a series of numerical invariants for $(V, \beta)$; taking just the first pieces gives the familiar

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank}(V, \beta) & :=\operatorname{rank}\left(\beta: V \rightarrow V^{[1], \vee}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\beta^{\vee}: V^{[1]} \rightarrow V^{\vee}\right), \text { and } \\
\operatorname{corank}(V, \beta) & :=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} V-\operatorname{rank}(V, \beta)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{1} V=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the first pieces of the two filtrations have the same dimension. As is also suggested by the examples in 3.4, this dimensional symmetry persists amongst higher pieces. To prove this, first observe that restricting the $j$-th Frobenius twisted pairing $\beta^{[j]}: V^{[j+1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V^{[j]} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ to $\mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1]}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}$ and using 1.5 (ii) twice gives an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{i} V^{[j]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]} \xrightarrow{\beta^{[j]}} \mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1], \vee} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

The symmetry statement is the following:
3.6. Proposition. $-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{i} V^{[j]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V^{[i]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} V^{[i]}$ for all integers $i, j \geq 0$.

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to consider $i \geq j \geq 0$. Proceed by induction on $i+j$. The base case is when $i=j=i+j=0$ so that both sides are just $V$ and there is nothing to prove. Now fix the quantity $i+j \geq 1$ and further induct on the difference $\delta:=i-j \geq 0$. There are two base cases: If $\delta=0$, then there is nothing to prove. If $\delta=1$, considering the sequence in 3.5 with $i=j+1$ gives

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j+1} V^{[j]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V^{[j+1]} .
$$

Since $j<i+j=2 j+1$, induction applies to the negative terms to show

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{0} V^{[j]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{0}^{\prime} V=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V
$$

whence $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j+1} V^{[j]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]}$.
Assume $\delta \geq 2$. Taking dimensions in the sequence of 3.5 gives the first equation in:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{i} V^{[j]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]} & =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1]}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j+1} V^{[i-1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{i-1}^{\prime} V^{[i-1]}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{i-1}^{\prime} V^{[i-1]}+\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V^{[i]} \\
& =\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{j} V^{[i]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} V^{[i]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $i-1-(j+1)=\delta-2$ and $\max \{i-1, j\}<i+j$, induction gives the equality in the middle. The final equality follows from the sequence in 3.5 upon substituting $i \mapsto j+1$ and $j \mapsto i-1$.
3.7. Numerical invariants. - A sequence of numerical invariants of $(V, \beta)$ is now obtained by taking dimensions of graded pieces for the filtrations: For each integer $m \geq 0$, set $\epsilon:=(-1)^{m}$, set

$$
a_{m}(V, \beta):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1} V / \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1} V=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[i]} / \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]},
$$

and set $a(V, \beta):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{+} V / \mathrm{P}_{-} V$. Since $V$ is finite-dimensional, $a_{m}(V, \beta)$ vanishes for large $m$. Slightly more convenient are the successive differences of these dimensions: for each $m \geq 0$, set

$$
b_{m}(V, \beta):=a_{m}(V, \beta)-a_{m+1}(V, \beta) \text { so that } a_{m}(V, \beta)=\sum_{i \geq m} b_{i}(V, \beta) .
$$

In fact, these differences are also dimensions:
3.8. Lemma. $-b_{m}(V, \beta)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1} V^{[1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]}}{\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1} V^{[1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]}}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[m]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]}}{\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[m]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]}}\right)$.

Proof. This follows directly from the definitions in 3.1 and 3.2 of the filtrations, and taking dimensions of the exact sequence 1.5 (iii) applied to the nested sequences of subspaces

$$
\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1} V^{[1]} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1} V^{[1]} \subseteq V^{[1]} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]} \subseteq V^{[m]}
$$

for the maps $\beta^{\vee}$ and $\beta^{[m]}$, respectively.
3.9. Type. - The sequence $\left(a(V, \beta) ; b_{m}(V, \beta)\right)_{m \geq 1}$ is the type of $(V, \beta)$ and is the fundamental invariant: all other intrinsic numerical invariants may be expressed in terms of the type. For instance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{corank}(V, \beta) & =a_{1}(V, \beta)=\sum_{m \geq 1} b_{m}(V, \beta), \text { and } \\
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} V & =a(V, \beta)+\sum_{m \geq 1} a_{m}(V, \beta)=a(V, \beta)+\sum_{m \geq 1} m b_{m}(V, \beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dimensions of each intersection $\mathrm{P}_{i} V^{[j]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}$ may be expressed similarly. Particularly useful is the following direct consequence of 3.8: for every integer $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} V^{[1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]} & =\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} b_{2 \ell-1}(V, \beta), \text { and } \\
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{2 k} V^{[1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]} & =\sum_{\ell=1}^{k} b_{2 \ell-1}(V, \beta)+\sum_{m \geq 2 k+1} b_{m}(V, \beta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Examining the description of the filtrations for standard forms given in 3.4 shows that this notion of type matches that given for standard forms in 1.3. In particular, $a(V, \beta)$ is the dimension of the nonsingular summand of a standard form. This is true more generally in the following sense:
3.10. Lemma. - The restriction of $\beta$ to $\mathrm{P}_{+} V$ has $\mathrm{P}_{-} V$ as its radical, and the induced $q$-bic form on $\mathrm{P}_{+} V / \mathrm{P}_{-} V$ is nonsingular.

Proof. It follows from definitions that $\mathrm{P}_{ \pm} V=\mathrm{P}_{\mp} V^{[1], \perp}$, so the kernels of $\beta$ restricted to $\mathrm{P}_{+} V$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta: \mathrm{P}_{+} V \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{+} V^{[1], \mathrm{V}}\right) & =\mathrm{P}_{+} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{+} V^{[1], \perp}=\mathrm{P}_{-} V, \text { and } \\
\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{\vee}: \mathrm{P}_{+} V^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{+} V^{\vee}\right) & =\mathrm{P}_{+} V^{[1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{+} V^{\perp}=\mathrm{P}_{+} V^{[1]} \cap\left(\mathrm{P}_{-} V^{[1]}+\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the final equality follows from 1.5 (iv). Since the two kernels have the same dimension, the latter must simply be $\mathrm{P}_{-} V^{[1]}$. Thus $\beta$ restricted to $\mathrm{P}_{+} V$ has $\mathrm{P}_{-} V$ as its radical, and since this is the entire kernel, the form induced on the quotient is nonsingular.
3.11. Descending the $\perp^{[\cdot \cdot} \cdot$ filtration. - Since $V$ is finite-dimensional, the filtrations are finite, and all pieces of the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$ - filtration are defined on all sufficiently large Frobenius twists of $V$. Thus

$$
v(V, \beta):=\min \left\{i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \perp^{[\cdot]}{ }_{-} \text {filtration descends to }\left(V^{[i]}, \beta^{[i]}\right) \text { over } \mathbf{k}\right\}
$$

is well-defined. This depends on $\mathbf{k}$ : for instance, $v(V, \beta)=0$ for any form over a perfect field. The following gives an a priori upper bound $v(V, \beta) \leq v_{0}$ depending only on the type of $\beta$. The statement is optimal, as can be shown by considering infinitesimals in automorphism groups as in 5.14 and comparing with the examples 5.7, 5.8, and $\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(\mathrm{k}^{\oplus 5}, \mathrm{~N}_{2} \oplus \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)}$.
3.12. Lemma. - Assume that $\beta$ is degenerate and let $\mu:=\max \left\{m \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid b_{m}(V, \beta) \neq 0\right\}$. Then the $\perp^{[\cdot]}-$ filtration canonically descends to $V^{\left[\nu_{0}\right]}$ for

$$
v_{0}:= \begin{cases}\mu-2 & \text { if } \mu>1 \text { and } b_{m}(V, \beta)=0 \text { for all even } m, \\ \mu-1 & \text { if } \mu \text { is odd, or } \mu \text { is even and } a(V, \beta)=0, \text { and } \\ \mu & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $\mu$ is the length of the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$ filtration, the last case is clear. When $\mu$ is even and $a(V, \beta)=0$, then $\mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]}=\mathrm{P}_{\mu-1}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]}$, so the last step of the filtration canonically descends to $V^{[\mu-1]}$. It remains to consider the situation when $\mu$ is odd. Since $b_{m}(V, \beta)=0$ for all $m>\mu$ the formulae of 3.7 imply that $a_{\mu}(V, \beta)=b_{\mu}(V, \beta)$, so that by comparing dimensions via 3.8 , the natural injection

$$
\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\mu]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]} / \mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\mu]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mu-2}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]} / \mathrm{P}_{\mu-2}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]}
$$

is an isomorphism. This implies that $\mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]}$ is spanned by $\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\mu]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mu-2}^{\prime} V^{[\mu-2]}$. By the formulae in 3.9 , the intersection coincides with $\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\mu]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mu-1}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]}$. Therefore

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu-1]}=\mathrm{P}_{\mu-2}^{\prime} V^{[\mu-1]}+\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\mu-1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mu-1}^{\prime} V^{[\mu-1]}
$$

is a canonical descent of $\mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu]}$ to $V^{[\mu-1]}$. Furthermore, when $\mu>1$ and $b_{m}(V, \beta)=0$ for all even $m$, the intersection is all of $\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\mu-1]}$ and so the sum descends further to $V^{[\mu-2]}$. By 1.5(iv),

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mu-1}^{\prime} V^{[\mu-3]}:=\mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu-2],,^{[\mu-3]}}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{[\mu-3]}: V^{[\mu-3]} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mu}^{\prime} V^{[\mu-2], V}\right)
$$

and so the entire $\perp^{[\cdot]}$ - filtration admits a descent to $V^{[\mu-2]}$ in this case.

## 4. Classification

The object of this Section is to prove the following Classification Theorem, which says that, after passing to a suitable Frobenius twist upon which its $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration is defined as in 3.11 , a $q$-bic form over a field is essentially classified by its type, as defined in 3.9:
4.1. Theorem. - Let $(V, \beta)$ be a $q$-bic form over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$, and let $v:=v(V, \beta)$. Then there exists an orthogonal decomposition

$$
\beta^{[\nu]}=\beta_{0} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \beta_{m}\right)
$$

such that $\beta_{0}$ is nonsingular of dimension $a$, and $\beta_{m}$ has a Gram matrix given by $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b_{m}}$ for each $m \geq 1$.
Combined with the classification of nonsingular forms in 2.7 and the remarks on $v$ from 3.11, this gives a normal form for $q$-bic forms over an algebraically closed field:
4.2. Corollary. - If $\mathbf{k}$ is algebraically closed, then there exists a basis $V=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\rangle$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Gram}\left(\beta ; e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)=\mathbf{1}^{\oplus a} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b_{m}}\right)
$$

Throughout this Section, let $(V, \beta)$ denote a $q$-bic form over a field $\mathbf{k}$. The idea of proof is as follows: Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer, and choose a subspace of dimension $b:=b_{m}(V, \beta)$ in

$$
\begin{cases}\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\nu]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{2 k-1}^{\prime} V^{[\nu]} & \text { if } m=2 k-1, \text { or } \\ \mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[\nu]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{2 k-2}^{\prime} V^{[\nu]} & \text { if } m=2 k,\end{cases}
$$

lifting the quotient from 3.8. The seesaw relation between the two filtrations, as in 4.6, extends this subspace to an $m b$-dimensional subspace of $V$ on which $\beta$ has a Gram matrix given by $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b}$, and which admits an orthogonal complement. Since the filtrations are compatible with orthogonal decompositions, inductively continuing this method on the complement yields the Theorem.
4.3. Recognizing a standard form. - An intrinsic formulation of the property that a $q$-bic form $(V, \beta)$ has a Gram matrix given by $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b}$ is: there exists a vector space decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} V_{i}$ such that, for integers $1 \leq i, j, \leq m$, the map

$$
\beta_{i, j}: V_{j} \subseteq V \xrightarrow{\beta} V^{[1], V} \rightarrow V_{i}^{[1], V} \text { is } \begin{cases}\text { an isomorphism } & \text { if } j=i+1, \text { and } \\ \text { zero } & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Indeed, if $V$ has a basis with Gram matrix $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b}$, set $V_{i}$ to be the span of the vectors corresponding to the $i$-th column of each $\mathbf{N}_{m}$ block. Conversely, given the vector space decomposition, begin with a basis of $V_{1}$ and use the maps $\beta_{i, i+1}$ to construct a basis of $V$ with the desired Gram matrix.

The following is a more flexible characterization of such forms:
4.4. Lemma. - Let $V$ be an mb-dimensional vector space with decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} V_{i}$ such that
(i) $V_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{1} V$ and $V_{m}^{[1]}=\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[1]}$,
(ii) $\beta_{1,2}: V_{2} \subseteq V \rightarrow V^{[1], V} \rightarrow V_{1}^{[1], V}$ is an isomorphism, and
(iii) $\operatorname{im}\left(\beta: V_{i+1} \rightarrow V^{[1], \vee}\right)=\operatorname{im}\left(\beta^{[1], \vee}: V_{i-1}^{[2]} \rightarrow V^{[1], \vee}\right)$ for each $1<i<m$.

Then $\beta$ has a Gram matrix given by $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b}$ with $b:=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} V_{1}$.
Proof. The task is to adjust the given decomposition of $V$ so that the maps $\beta_{i, j}$ from 4.3 are isomorphisms when $j=i+1$ and zero otherwise. Post-composing the maps appearing in (iii) with the
restriction $V^{[1], v} \rightarrow V_{j}^{[1], v}$ shows that: for all $1<i<m$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$,

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(\beta_{j, i+1}: V_{i+1} \rightarrow V_{j}^{[1], \vee}\right)=\operatorname{rank}\left(\beta_{i-1, j}: V_{j} \rightarrow V_{i-1}^{[1], v}\right) .
$$

The maps $\beta: V_{i+1} \rightarrow V^{[1], \vee}$ and $\beta^{\vee}: V_{i-1}^{[1]} \rightarrow V^{\vee}$ are injections for each $1<i<m$ by assumption (i), so the rank equations combined with (ii) implies that each subspace $V_{i}$ is $b$-dimensional and that the maps $\beta_{i, i+1}$ are isomorphisms for each $1 \leq i<m$. Since $\beta_{j, 1}$ and $\beta_{m, j}$ are the zero maps for all $1 \leq j \leq m$ by (i), the rank equations furthermore imply that

$$
\beta_{i, j}=0 \text { whenever } j \neq i+1 \text { and }\left\{\begin{aligned}
& \min \{i, j\} \equiv 1 \\
& \max \{i, j\}(\bmod 2), \\
&(\bmod 2) .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Adjust now the even-indexed subspaces via a Gram-Schmidt-like procedure, in a way depending on the parity of $m$, to arrange for the remaining $\beta_{i, j}$ to vanish.
Case $m$ is odd. It remains to arrange for $\beta_{i, j}=0$ when both $i$ and $j$ are even. Let $1 \leq k<m / 2$ and inductively assume that $\beta_{i, j}=0$ whenever $\min \{i, j\}<2 k$. The task is to modify the subspaces $V_{i}$ with $i$ even and $2 k \leq i \leq m$ so that vanishing furthermore holds when $\min \{i, j\}=2 k$.

Consider the subspace $V^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{i=2 k}^{m} V_{i}$ and let $\beta^{\prime}$ be the restriction of $\beta$ thereon. For $2 k \leq i \leq m$, set $V_{i}^{\prime}:=V_{i}$ for odd $i$, and

$$
V_{i}^{\prime}:= \begin{cases}\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{\prime} & \text { if } i=2 k, \text { and } \\ \operatorname{ker}\left(\beta: V_{2 k+1} \oplus V_{i} \rightarrow V_{2 k}^{\prime[1], \mathrm{V}}\right) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Since $\beta_{i, i+1}^{\vee}$ is an isomorphism and $\beta^{\vee}$ vanishes on $V_{m}$ by (i), it follows that $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{\prime[1]}=V_{m}^{[1]}$, so

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} V_{2 k}^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{1} V^{\prime}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{\prime[1]}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} V_{m}^{[1]}=b .
$$

Observe that the projections $V_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{i}$ are isomorphisms for all $i$ : This is clear for $i$ odd. For $i=2 k$, this is because both $V_{2 k}^{\prime}$ and $V_{2 k}$ are $b$-dimensional and, since $\beta_{j, j+1}: V_{j+1} \rightarrow V_{j}^{[1], V}$ are isomorphisms,

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(V_{2 k}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{2 k}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{\prime} \cap\left(\bigoplus_{i=2 k+1}^{m} V_{i}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta: \bigoplus_{i=2 k+1}^{m} V_{i} \rightarrow V^{\prime[1], v}\right)=\{0\}
$$

Since $\beta_{2 k, 2 k+1}$ is an isomorphism and $\beta_{j, 2 k+1}=0$ for $2 k+1 \leq j \leq m$, this furthermore implies that the map $V_{2 k+1} \rightarrow V_{2 k}^{\prime[1], v}$ induced by $\beta$ is an isomorphism, whence the projection $V_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{i}$ is an isomorphism for $i$ even and different from $2 k$.

Therefore the subspaces $V_{i}^{\prime}$ yield a new direct sum decomposition

$$
V^{\prime}=V_{2 k}^{\prime} \oplus V_{2 k+1}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{m}^{\prime} .
$$

It is then straightforward to check that upon replacing the $V_{i}$ with the $V_{i}^{\prime}$ for $2 k \leq i \leq m$, the maps $\beta_{i, j}$, in addition to their previous properties, vanish whenever $i$ and $j$ are both even and $\min \{i, j\}=2 k$. This completes the proof in the case $m$ is odd.
Case $m$ is even. It remains to arrange vanishing of $\beta_{i, j}$ when the smaller index is even, and the larger index odd. This can be done all at once: for each $1 \leq k \leq m / 2$, set $V_{2 k-1}^{\prime}:=V_{2 k-1}$ and

$$
V_{2 k}^{\prime}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta: V_{2 k} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\ell=k+1}^{m / 2} V_{2 \ell}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\ell=k+1}^{m / 2} V_{2 \ell-1}^{[1], v}\right) .
$$

The projection $V_{2 k}^{\prime} \rightarrow V_{2 k}$ is an isomorphism since $\beta$ restricts to an isomorphism between the two sums appearing in its definition. Thus this gives a new decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} V_{i}^{\prime}$, and it is straightforward to check that the maps

$$
\beta_{i, j}^{\prime}: V_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq V \xrightarrow{\beta} V^{[1], v} \rightarrow V_{i}^{\prime[1], v}
$$

induced by $\beta$ on this decomposition satisfy the vanishing conditions of 4.3: First, the subspaces $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m / 2} V_{2 k-1}$ and $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{m / 2} V_{2 k}$ are totally isotropic for $\beta$, and so the maps $\beta_{i, j}^{\prime}$ vanish whenever $i$ and $j$
are of the same parity. Next, it follows by construction of the $V_{i}^{\prime}$ that $\beta_{i, j}^{\prime}$ vanishes whenever min $\{i, j\}$ is even and max $\{i, j\}$ is odd. Thus the restriction of $\beta$ to $V_{2 k}^{\prime}$ factors as

$$
V_{2 k}^{\prime} \subset V_{2 k} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\ell=k+1}^{m / 2} V_{2 \ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{k} V_{2 s-1}^{[1], V}
$$

Since $\beta_{2 s-1,2 \ell}=0$ for each $1 \leq s<\ell \leq m / 2$, the image of $V_{2 k}^{\prime}$ coincides with that of $V_{2 k}$, and is the space $V_{2 k-1}^{[1], V}$. This gives the remaining conditions on $\beta_{i, j}^{\prime}$, completing the proof.
4.5. Seesawing between filtrations. - Conditions (ii) and (iii) of 4.4 will be arranged by studying the relationship between the $\perp$ - and $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtrations under $\beta$ and its dual. The fundamental relationship is the following seesaw relationship between intersections of pieces of the two filtrations:
4.6. Lemma. - For integers $i, j \geq 0$, there is an equality of subspaces of $V^{[j]}$ given by

$$
\left(\mathrm{P}_{i+1} V^{[j-1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j-1}^{\prime} V^{[j-1]}\right)^{\perp[j-1]}=\mathrm{P}_{i} V^{[j]}+\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]}\right)^{\perp^{[j]}}
$$

In particular, there is an equality of subspaces of $V^{\vee,[j]}$ given by

$$
\operatorname{im}\left(\beta^{[j-1]}: \mathrm{P}_{i+1} V^{[j-1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j-1}^{\prime} V^{[j-1]} \rightarrow V^{\vee,[j]}\right)=\operatorname{im}\left(\beta^{[j], \vee}: \mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]} \rightarrow V^{\vee,[j]}\right)
$$

Proof. Since $V^{[j], \perp \perp^{[j-1]}}=\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[j-1]} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{i+1} V^{[j-1]}$ and $V^{[j], \perp \perp^{[j]}}=\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]}, 1.5$ (v) applies and shows that the two orthogonals in the statement are given by the left and right sides of:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{i+1} V^{[j-1], \perp^{[j-1]}}+\mathrm{P}_{j-1}^{\prime} V^{[j-1], \perp^{[j-1]}}=\mathrm{P}_{i} V^{[j]}+\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}=\mathrm{P}_{i-1} V^{[j+1], \perp^{[j]}}+\mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1], \perp^{[j]}}
$$

The two middle equalities follow from the commutation relationship for Frobenius twists in 1.7 and reflexivity of orthogonals as in 1.5 (iv), yielding the first statement. The second statement now follows, since the two images are dual to the quotient of $V^{[j]}$ by the common orthogonal.

The following consequence of 4.6 with $i=2$ is helpful in relating the recognition principle condition 4.4(ii) with the numerical invariants of $\beta$ via 3.8:
4.7. Corollary. - Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and $\epsilon:=(-1)^{m}$. Then $\beta^{[m-1], v}$ induces an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[m]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]}}{\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[m]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]}} \rightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{[m-1]}}{\mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{[m-1]}}\right)^{V} \rightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} V^{[m-1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{[m-1]}}{\mathrm{P}_{2} V^{[m-1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{[m-1]}}\right)^{V} \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof. Applying 4.6 with $i=2$ shows that $\beta^{[j], V}$ induces a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[j+1]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j+1}^{\prime} V^{[j+1]} \rightarrow\left(V^{[j]} /\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} V^{[j]}+\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} V^{[j]}\right)\right)^{V} \rightarrow 0
$$

Comparing $j=m-1$ and $j=m-2 \epsilon-1$ then gives the isomorphism

$$
\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[m]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]}}{\mathrm{P}_{1} V^{[m]} \cap \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[m]}} \cong\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} V^{[m-1]}+\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{[m-1]}}{\mathrm{P}_{2} V^{[m-1]}+\mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{[m-1]}}\right)^{V}
$$

which implies the claimed exact sequence.
Finally, a semi-linear algebra statement used in the main step of the Classification Theorem to produce an orthogonal complement. The following says that given a $q$-linear map $V \rightarrow W$ between vector spaces, under certain conditions, any subspace of $V$ admits a complement with linearly disjoint image. The hypothesis below is necessary, as can be seen by considering any surjective map.
4.8. Lemma. - Let $V$ and $W$ be vector spaces over $\mathbf{k}$, and let $f: V^{[1]} \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Assume that $\operatorname{ker}(f)$ descends to $V$. Then any subspace $V^{\prime}$ of $V$ admits a complement $V^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
f\left(V^{\prime[1]}\right) \cap f\left(V^{\prime \prime[1]}\right)=\{0\}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{f}: V \rightarrow W$ be the $q$-linear map obtained by precomposing $f$ with the canonical map $V \rightarrow V^{[1]}$. The additive subgroup $K:=\operatorname{ker}(\tilde{f})$ is, in fact, a linear subspace of $V$, and is the Frobenius descent of $\operatorname{ker}(f)$. Choose a complement $V_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ to $V^{\prime}+K$ in $V$, and choose a complement $V_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ to $V^{\prime} \cap K$ in $K$. Then $V^{\prime \prime}:=V_{1}^{\prime \prime} \oplus V_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is a complement to $V^{\prime}$ in $V$ that satisfies the condition: since

$$
\left.f\left(V^{\prime[1]}\right) \cap f\left(V^{\prime \prime[1]}\right)=f\left(\left(V^{\prime[1]}+\operatorname{ker}(f)\right) \cap V^{\prime \prime[1]}\right)=f\left(\left(\left(V^{\prime}+K\right) \cap V^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{[1]}\right)
$$

and since the rightmost intersection is contained inside $K^{[1]}=\operatorname{ker}(f)$, the intersection is $\{0\}$.
The following explains how to split off orthogonal summands of standard type $\mathbf{N}_{m}$ once the first $m$ steps of the $\perp^{[\cdot \cdot]}$-filtration are visible on $V$ over $\mathbf{k}$ :
4.9. Proposition. - Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and assume that the first $m$ steps of the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration descend to $V$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Then there exists an orthogonal decomposition

$$
\beta=\beta^{\prime} \oplus \beta^{\prime \prime}
$$

where $\beta^{\prime}$ has a Gram matrix given by $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b}$ for $b:=b_{m}(V, \beta)$.
Proof. When $m=1$, let $V^{\prime}:=\mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V$ and let $V^{\prime \prime}$ be any complementary subspace in $V$. Restricting $\beta$ to these subspaces gives subforms $\beta^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime \prime}$, respectively, satisfying the properties of the statement in this case. Thus, in the remainder, assume that $m \geq 2$.

Construct subspaces $V_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq V$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ as follows: Let $\epsilon:=(-1)^{m}$. By 3.8 and 4.7, it is possible to choose a $b$-dimensional subspace $V_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V$ such that the map

$$
V_{1}^{\prime[1]} \stackrel{\sim}{\rightarrow}\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V}{\mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V}\right)^{[1]} \hookrightarrow\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V}{\mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V}\right)^{V} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{\vee}
$$

induced by $\beta^{\vee}$ is an isomorphism onto its image $W_{1}$. Since the kernel of $\beta^{\vee}: V^{[1]} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{\vee}$ is $\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V^{[1]}$, which admits a descent to $V$ by hypothesis, 4.8 applies to give a complement $V_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ to $V_{1}^{\prime}$ in $V$ whose images under $\beta^{\vee}$ are linearly disjoint. Extend the image of $V_{1}^{\prime \prime[1]}$ under $\beta^{\vee}$ to a complement $W_{1}^{\prime}$ of $W_{1}$ so that $\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V^{\vee}=W_{1} \oplus W_{1}^{\prime}$. View $W_{1}$ as a quotient and let $V_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V$ be the dual subspace. Then $V_{2}^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $\mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-2}^{\prime} V$, the map $\beta^{\vee}: V_{1}^{\prime[1]} \rightarrow V_{2}^{\prime \vee}$ is an isomorphism, and

$$
V_{2}^{\prime \perp}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{\vee}: V^{[1]} \rightarrow V_{2}^{\wedge}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta^{\vee}: V^{[1]} \rightarrow W_{1}\right)=V_{1}^{\prime \prime[1]} .
$$

Starting from $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{2}^{\prime}$, apply the second part of 4.6 to successively choose $b$-dimensional subspaces

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
V_{2 k-1}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-2 k+1}^{\prime} V & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq\lceil m / 2\rceil, \text { and } \\
V_{2 k}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{2 k-2} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2 k}^{\prime} & V & \text { for } 1 \leq k \leq\lfloor m / 2\rfloor,
\end{array}\right.
$$

linearly disjoint from $\mathrm{P}_{m+\epsilon-2 k+1}^{\prime} V$ and $\mathrm{P}_{m-\epsilon-2 k}^{\prime} V$, respectively, and such that there is an equality of images $\operatorname{im}\left(\beta: V_{i+1}^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{[1], V}\right)=\operatorname{im}\left(\beta^{[1], v}: V_{i-1}^{\prime[2]} \rightarrow V^{[1], v}\right)$ for each $1<i<m$.

The $V_{i}^{\prime}$ are therefore disjoint and together span an $m b$-dimensional subspace

$$
V^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} V_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq V .
$$

The restriction $\beta^{\prime}$ of $\beta$ satisfies the hypotheses of 4.4 with respect to this decomposition: (ii) follows from the choice of $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and $V_{2}^{\prime}$, and (iii) follows from the construction of the $V_{i}^{\prime}$ via 4.6. Since each $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is $b$-dimensional, this implies that $\beta^{\prime}$ has corank $b$. Since $V_{1}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{1} V^{\prime}$ and $V_{m}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V^{\prime}$ by construction, comparing dimensions then gives (i). Therefore $\beta^{\prime}$ has a Gram matrix given by $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b}$.

It remains to observe that the total orthogonal of $V^{\prime}$ descends to a complement $V^{\prime \prime}$ in $V$. Taking orthogonals and applying 1.4(ii) to the direct sum decomposition shows that the total orthogonal is

$$
V^{\prime \perp} \cap V^{\prime[2], \perp^{[1]}}=\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} V_{i}^{\prime \perp}\right) \cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} V_{i}^{\prime[2],,^{[1]}}\right) .
$$

By construction, $V_{i+1}^{\prime \perp}=V_{i-1}^{\prime[2], \perp \perp^{[1]}}$ for each $1<i<m$ and $V_{1}^{\prime \perp}=V^{[1]}=V_{m}^{\prime[2], \perp \perp^{[1]}}$, so

$$
V^{\prime \perp} \cap V^{\prime[2], \perp \perp^{[1]}}=V_{2}^{\prime \perp} \cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m-1} V_{i}^{\prime[2], \perp \perp^{[1]}}\right)=\left(V_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cap\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m-1} V_{i}^{\prime[1], \perp}\right)\right)^{[1]}=: V^{\prime \prime[1]}
$$

where the middle equality is due to the choice of $V_{2}^{\prime}$. Thus the total orthogonal admits a Frobenius descent to a subspace $V^{\prime \prime}$ of $V$ which has codimension at most $m b$. Since $\beta^{\prime}$ does not have a radical, $V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$ are linearly disjoint, and it follows that they are complementary subspaces of $V$. Taking $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ to be the restriction of $\beta$ to $V^{\prime \prime}$ then gives the result.

Proof of 4.1. By definition of $v$ from 3.11, the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration descends to $V^{[v]}$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Since formation of the filtrations is compatible with orthogonal decompositions by $3.3,4.9$ may be successively applied for each integer $m \geq 1$ to produce orthogonal summands $\beta_{m}$ with Gram matrices $\mathbf{N}_{m}^{\oplus b_{m}}$. Since only finitely many of the invariants $b_{m}$ are nonzero, this eventually terminates, and the remaining piece $\beta_{0}$ is a nonsingular subform of $\beta$ lifting that on $P_{+} V / P_{-} V$ as in 3.10.

## 5. Automorphisms

This Section is concerned with automorphism group schemes of $q$-bic forms. For nondegenerate forms, this recovers the classical unitary group, see 5.6. In general, these schemes are positivedimensional and are often nonreduced, as seen in the examples 5.7 and 5.8 . The main observation in this Section is that the nonreduced structure reflects the fact that the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration canonically exists upon passing to a Frobenius twist and that automorphisms need not preserve a descent of the filtration; compare 5.2 and 5.4 with the main result 5.12 and its consequence 5.13 . This is used to compute the dimension of the automorphism groups in 5.15 .
5.1. Automorphism group schemes. - Let $(M, \beta)$ be a $q$-bic form over a $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2}}$-algebra $R$. Given an $R$-algebra $S$, write $M_{S}:=M \otimes_{R} S$ and $\beta_{S}:=\beta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{S}$ for the $q$-bic form over $S$ obtained by extension of scalars. Consider the group-valued functor

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{(M, \beta)}: \operatorname{Alg}_{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{Grps} \quad S \mapsto \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{S}, \beta_{S}\right)
$$

that sends $R$-algebra $S$ to the automorphism group of $\left(M_{S}, \beta_{S}\right)$. This is the subfunctor of linear automorphisms $\mathbf{G L}_{M}$ of $M$ which stabilizes the element $\beta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(M^{[1]} \otimes_{R} M, R\right)$. Since $M$ is always assumed to be finite projective, this is represented by a closed subgroup scheme of $\mathbf{G L}_{M}$ by [DG70, II.1.2.4 and II.1.2.6], and is referred to as the automorphism group scheme of $(M, \beta)$.

Since the $\perp$ - and $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtrations from 3.1 and 3.2 are intrinsic to a $q$-bic form $(M, \beta)$, they are preserved by points of the automorphism group scheme whenever the constructions are compatible with scalar extension, for instance when each piece is a local direct summand. In other words:
5.2. Lemma. - Assume that the submodules $\mathrm{P}_{i} M \subseteq M$ and $\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]} \subseteq M^{[i]}$ are local direct summands for every integer $i \geq 0$. Then Aut $_{(M, \beta)}$ factors through the closed subgroup scheme of $\mathbf{G L}_{M}$ given by

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(M, \mathrm{P} . M, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \cdot M[\cdot]\right)}: S \mapsto\left\{g \in \mathrm{GL}\left(M_{S}\right) \mid g \cdot \mathrm{P}_{i} M_{S}=\mathrm{P}_{i} M_{S} \text { and } g^{[i]} \cdot \mathrm{P}_{i} M_{S}^{[i]}=\mathrm{P}_{i} M_{S}^{[i]} \text { for each } i \geq 0\right\}
$$

5.3. - However, $\mathrm{GL}_{M}$ acts on $M^{[i]}$ through its $R$-linear Frobenius morphism, which, in coordinates, raises each matrix coefficient to its $q^{i}$-th power. Importantly, this means that infinitesimal members of Aut $_{(M, \beta)}$ might not preserve a descent $P^{\prime} . M$ of the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration to $M$. So, in the case that the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration descends to $M$ over $R$, let

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(M, \beta, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} M\right)}: S \mapsto\left\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{S}, \beta_{S}\right) \mid g \cdot \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M_{S}=\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M_{S} \text { for each } i \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}
$$

be the closed subgroup scheme of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(M, \beta)}$ that additionally preserves $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} M$. Then, at least:
5.4. Lemma. - Assume that the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration descends to $M$ and that each piece $\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M$ is a local direct summand. Then, as subschemes of $\mathrm{GL}_{M}$, the reduced subscheme of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(M, \beta)}$ is contained in $\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(M, \beta, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \cdot M\right)}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that if $R$ is reduced, then every $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(M, \beta)$ preserves $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} M$. Since the $i$-th Frobenius twist of $g$ preserves $\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M^{[i]}$, the image $N:=g \cdot \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M$ fits into a commutative diagram

where the vertical maps are induced by the canonical map $M \rightarrow M^{[i]}$. Since $\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M$ is a local direct summand of $M$, all the modules are finite projective; together with reducedness of $R$, it follows that all the vertical maps are injective. Commutativity of the right square implies that the map $N \rightarrow M / \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M$ vanishes, and so $N \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} M$, implying the result.

The remainder of this Section is concerned with $q$-bic forms $(V, \beta)$ over a field $\mathbf{k}$ and the dimension of their automorphism group schemes. First, their Lie algebras are as follows:
5.5. Proposition. - There is a canonical identification of $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces given by

$$
\operatorname{Lie}^{\operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(V, \mathrm{P}_{1} V\right)
$$

When the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration descends to $V$, there is a canonical identification

$$
\operatorname{LieAut}_{\left(V, \beta, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} V\right)} \cong\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(V, \mathrm{P}_{1} V\right) \mid \varphi\left(\mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} V\right) \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{i}^{\prime} V \text { for each } i \in \mathrm{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}
$$

Proof. The Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}$ is the subset of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{D}, \beta_{D}\right)$, with $D:=\mathbf{k}[\epsilon] /\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$, consisting of automorphisms that restrict to the identity upon setting $\epsilon=0$. Such elements may be written as $\mathrm{id}+\epsilon \varphi$ for a unique $\mathbf{k}$-linear map $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$. Since $\epsilon^{2}=0$, that id $+\epsilon \varphi$ preserves $\beta_{D}$ means that, for every $u \in V_{D}^{[1]}$ and $v \in V_{D}$,

$$
\beta_{D}(u, v)=\beta_{D}\left((\operatorname{id}+\epsilon \varphi)^{[1]}(u),(\operatorname{id}+\epsilon \varphi)(v)\right)=\beta_{D}(u, v)+\epsilon \beta(\bar{u}, \varphi(\bar{v}))
$$

where $\bar{u} \in V^{[1]}$ and $\bar{v} \in V$ are the images of $u$ and $v$ upon setting $\epsilon=0$. Since $\bar{u}$ is arbitrary, this implies that $\varphi(\bar{v}) \in V^{[1], \perp}=\mathrm{P}_{1} V$, giving the first identification. This implies the second statement since the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(V, \beta, P^{\prime} V\right)}$ is the subspace of $\operatorname{LieAut}_{(V, \beta)}$ consisting of those $\varphi$ which, in addition, preserve the filtration $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} V$.

Before continuing, consider a few examples of automorphism groups and schemes of $q$-bic forms over a field. Some more examples and explicit computations may be found in [Che22, Chapter 3].
5.6. Unitary groups. - When $\beta$ is nonsingular, 5.5 implies that $\operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}=: \mathrm{U}(V, \beta)$ is an étale group scheme over $\mathbf{k}$ which might be called the unitary group of $\beta$. By 2.7 and the comments thereafter, its group of points over a separable closure of $\mathbf{k}$ is isomorphic to the classical finite unitary group $\mathrm{U}_{n}(q)$, as in [CCN ${ }^{+} 85, \S 2.1$ ]. Following Steinberg as [Ste16, Lecture 11], the unitary group can also be described as $U(V, \beta)=\mathbf{G L}_{V}^{F_{\beta}}$, the fixed points for the morphism of algebraic groups given by

$$
F_{\beta}: \mathbf{G L}_{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{G L}_{V} \quad g \mapsto \beta^{-1} \circ g^{[1], V,-1} \circ \beta
$$

5.7. Type $\mathbf{N}_{n}$. - The automorphism group of a form $\beta$ with a Gram matrix $\mathbf{N}_{n}$ can be roughly determined by analyzing the proof of 4.9: First, there is the choice of a basis vector for $V_{1}=$ $\mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{n-\epsilon-1}^{\prime} V$. This now uniquely determines, via 4.6 , spaces $V_{i}$ together with a basis vector whenever $i$ has the same parity as $n$. Finally, when the parity of $i$ and $n$ are different, the $V_{i}$ and its basis are only determined up to an additive factor. In particular, this gives $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}=\lceil n / 2\rceil$.

In contrast, 5.5 shows that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Lie}^{\operatorname{Aut}}(V, \beta)=n$, so these schemes are not reduced for any $n \geq 2$. The schematic structure can be explictly determined in low dimensions; for example, Aut $_{(V, \beta)}$ may be described for $n \in\{2,3,4\}$ as closed subschemes of $\mathbf{G L}_{n}$ consisting of matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & \epsilon_{1} \\
0 & \lambda^{-q}
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\lambda & t & \epsilon_{1} \\
0 & \lambda^{-q} & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda^{q(q-1)} t^{q} & \lambda^{q^{2}}
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda & \epsilon_{3} & t & \epsilon_{1} \\
0 & \lambda^{-q} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon_{2} & \lambda^{q^{2}} & -\lambda^{-q^{2}(q-1)} \epsilon_{2}^{q} \\
0 & -\lambda^{-q^{2}(q+1)} t^{q} & 0 & \lambda^{-q^{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{G}_{m}, t \in \mathbf{G}_{a}$, and $\epsilon_{i} \in \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{q^{i}}$, and when $n=4$, the entries satisfy

$$
\epsilon_{2}^{q} t^{q}-\lambda^{q\left(q^{2}-q+1\right)} \epsilon_{2}-\lambda^{q^{3}} \epsilon_{3}^{q}=0
$$

5.8. Type $1^{\oplus a} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2}^{\oplus b}$. - One other situation in which Aut $_{(V, \beta)}$ admits a reasonably neat description is when $\beta$ admits a Gram matrix of the form $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus a} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2}^{\oplus b}$. Then the automorphism group is a $b^{2}$ dimensional closed subgroup scheme of $\mathbf{G L}_{a+2 b}$, and is described in [Che22, 1.3.7]; for $a=b=1$, this is the 1-dimensional subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_{3}$ given by matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\zeta & 0 & -\zeta \epsilon_{2}^{q} / \lambda^{q} \\
\epsilon_{2} & \lambda & \epsilon_{1} \\
0 & 0 & \lambda^{-q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbf{G}_{m}, \epsilon_{1} \in \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{q}, \epsilon_{2} \in \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{q^{2}}$, and $\zeta \in \mu_{q+1}$.
5.9. Filtered automorphisms. - The examples suggest that the nonreducedness of Aut ${ }_{(V, \beta)}$ arises from its failure to preserve a descent of its $\perp^{[\cdot \cdot]}$-filtration to $V$. In particular, the subgroups in 5.7 and 5.8 that preserve $P^{\prime} . V$ are in fact reduced. This turns out to be general and is established below by studying infinitesimal deformations of the identity automorphism of ( $V, \beta, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} . V$ ). In preparation, establish some notation: write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{V}:=V / \mathrm{P}_{1} V, & \mathrm{P} . \bar{V}:=\mathrm{P} . V / \mathrm{P}_{1} V, & \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \bar{V}:=\mathrm{P}^{\prime} V / \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}^{\prime} . V, \\
\bar{V}^{\prime}:=V / \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V, & \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \bar{V}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{P} . \cdot V / \mathrm{P} . V \cap \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V, & \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \bar{V}^{\prime}:=\mathrm{P}_{.}^{\prime} V / \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V
\end{aligned}
$$

for the quotients of $V$ by the two kernels of $\beta$ and for the quotient filtrations induced by P.V and $P^{\prime} . V$. Scalar extensions of these spaces are denoted, as usual, via subscripts. Write Aut ${ }_{(V, P . V, P \cdot, V)}$ for the algebraic group of linear automorphisms of $V$ that preserve the two filtrations P. $V$ and P. $V$, and analogously with $V$ replaced by $\bar{V}$ and $\bar{V}^{\prime}$. Then the pairing induced by $\beta$ on the quotients satisfies:
5.10. Lemma. - The perfect pairing $\bar{\beta}: \bar{V}^{\prime[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \bar{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\bar{\beta}_{*}:\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(\bar{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~V}}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P} \cdot \bar{V}^{\prime}\right)}\right)^{[1]} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{(\overline{\mathrm{V}}, \mathrm{P} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~V}}, \mathrm{P} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~V}})}
$$

of linear algebraic groups over $\mathbf{k}$ whose value on an $S$-point $\varphi: \bar{V}_{S}^{\prime[1]} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{S}^{\prime[1]}$ is the composite

$$
\bar{\beta}_{S}^{-1} \circ \varphi^{\mathrm{v},-1} \circ \bar{\beta}_{S}: \bar{V}_{S} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{S}^{[1], v} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{S}^{\prime[1], v} \rightarrow \bar{V}_{S} .
$$

Proof. It remains to observe that $\bar{\beta}_{*, S}(\varphi)$ defined as above preserves the two filtrations on $\bar{V}_{S}$. This follows from the seesaw relation between the filtrations: 4.6 implies that $\bar{\beta}$ defines an isomorphism

$$
\bar{\beta}: \mathrm{P}_{i+1} \bar{V} \cap \mathrm{P}_{j-1}^{\prime} \bar{V} \xrightarrow{\sim}\left(\bar{V}^{\prime} /\left(\mathrm{P}_{i} \bar{V}^{\prime}+\mathrm{P}_{j}^{\prime} \bar{V}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{[1], \mathrm{V}}
$$

for all $i, j \geq 0$, compatible with scalar extension. Then since $\varphi$ preserves the two filtrations on $\bar{V}_{S}^{[[1]}$, its transpose inverse induces an automorphism on the right-hand quotient. Transporting this via $\bar{\beta}^{-1}$ shows that $\bar{\beta}_{*, S}(\varphi)$ preserves the two filtrations on $\bar{V}_{S}$.

Let $\mathrm{Art}_{\mathbf{k}}$ be the category of Artinian $\mathbf{k}$-algebras with residue field $\mathbf{k}$. Let a $q$-small extension denote a surjection $B \rightarrow A$ in Art $_{\mathbf{k}}$ whose kernel is annihilated by the $q$-power Frobenius. The following construction produces unique lifts, up to Frobenius, along $q$-small extensions:
5.11. Lemma. - Let $B \rightarrow A$ be a $q$-small extension. For any $\mathbf{k}$-scheme $X$ such that $X(B) \rightarrow X(A)$ is surjective, there exists a canonical map $\phi: X(A) \rightarrow X^{[1]}(B)$ fitting into a commutative diagram


Proof. Given $x \in X(A)$, let $\phi(x):=y \circ \mathrm{Fr}_{X / \mathbf{k}}$ for any lift $y \in X(B)$. This is well-defined because, if $y^{\prime}$ is another lift, then the difference $y^{\#}-y^{\prime \#}$ of the induced maps on structure sheaves factors through $\operatorname{ker}(B \rightarrow A)$ and is therefore annihilated by Frobenius. The top triangle now commutes by construction, and the bottom triangle because Frobenius commutes with ring homomorphisms.

The following is the main result of this Section:
5.12. Theorem. - Let $(V, \beta)$ be a $q$-bic form over a field $\mathbf{k}$ that admits a descent $P^{\prime} . V$ of its $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration to $V$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Then the algebraic group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(V, \beta, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}, V\right)}$ is reduced and smooth over $\mathbf{k}$.

Proof. It suffices to verify the infinitesimal lifting criterion, as in [Sta, 02HX], at the identity. Explicitly, consider the functor of infinitesimal deformations of the identity automorphism:

$$
G: \operatorname{Art}_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Grps} \quad A \mapsto\left\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{A}, \beta_{A}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \cdot V_{A}\right) \mid g \otimes_{A} A / \mathfrak{m}_{A}=\operatorname{id}_{V}\right\} .
$$

Then it is enough to show that $G(B) \rightarrow G(A)$ is surjective for every $q$-small extension $B \rightarrow A$. Fix such an extension and fix an element $g \in G(A)$. A lift $h \in G(B)$ will be constructed in several steps. The notation of 5.9 will be used throughout the proof.

Step 1. Forget $\beta_{A}$ and view $g$ as an element of the group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{A}\right.$, P. $V_{A}$, P. $\left.V_{A}\right)$. In particular, $g$ preserves the submodules $\mathrm{P}_{1} V_{A}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime} V_{A}$, so it induces automorphisms on the quotients

$$
\bar{g} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{A}, \text { P. } \bar{V}_{A}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} . \bar{V}_{A}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \bar{g}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{A}^{\prime}, \text { P. } \bar{V}_{A}^{\prime}, \text { P. } \bar{V}_{A}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Apply 5.11 to the smooth algebraic group $X=\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(\overline{V^{\prime}}, \mathrm{P} \cdot \bar{V}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P}, \bar{V}^{\prime} \bar{V}^{\prime}\right)}$ of bifiltered linear automorphisms of $\bar{V}^{\prime}$ to obtain a homomorphism

$$
\phi: \operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P} . \bar{V}_{A}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \cdot \bar{V}_{A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{B}^{\prime[1]}, \mathrm{P} . \bar{V}_{B}^{\prime[1]}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \bar{V}_{B}^{\prime[1]}\right)
$$

factoring the relative Frobenius homomorphism of $X$ on $B$-points. Identify the target of $\phi$ with the group of bifiltered automorphisms of $\bar{V}_{B}$ via 5.10. This yields an element

$$
\bar{h}:=\bar{\beta}_{B, *}\left(\phi\left(\bar{g}^{\prime}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{B}, \mathrm{P} . \bar{V}_{B}, \mathrm{P}_{.}^{\prime} \bar{V}_{B}\right) .
$$

By commutativity of the diagram in 5.11, the definition of the isomorphism $\bar{\beta}_{*}$ from 5.10, and the fact that $g$ preserves $\beta_{A}$, it follows that $\bar{h}$ reduces along $B \rightarrow A$ to

$$
\bar{\beta}_{A, *}\left(\bar{g}^{\prime[1]}\right)=\bar{g} \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{A}, \mathrm{P} . \bar{V}_{A}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \bar{V}_{A}\right) .
$$

Step 2. The quotient map $V \rightarrow \bar{V}$ induces a surjection of linear algebraic groups

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(V, P, P, V, P_{4}^{\prime} \cdot V\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{(\bar{V}, \mathrm{P}, \bar{V}, \mathrm{P}, \cdot \overline{\mathrm{~V}})}
$$

whose kernel is isomorphic to the group of linear maps $V \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{1} V$ which preserve the filtrations induced by $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} . V$ and restrict to an isomorphism on $\mathrm{P}_{1} V \subseteq V$. The surjection is therefore smooth and, in terms of deformation theory, this means that the canonically induced map of sets

$$
\operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{B}, \text { P. } . V_{B}, \text { P. }^{\prime} \cdot V_{B}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{A}, \text { P. } . V_{A}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} . V_{A}\right) \times_{\operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{A}, \text { P. } \overline{\bar{A}}_{A}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}, \bar{V}_{A}\right)} \operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{B}, \text { P. } \bar{V}_{B}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} . \bar{V}_{B}\right)
$$

is surjective. See, for example, [Sta, 06HJ]. Step 1 produced an element $(g \mapsto \bar{g} \leftrightarrow \bar{h})$ of the fibre product on the right; let $h \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(V_{B}\right.$, P. $V_{B}$, P. $\left.{ }^{\prime} V_{B}\right)$ be any lift along this surjection.

Step 3. It remains to see that this element $h$ preserves the $q$-bic form $\beta_{B}$. Since $h$ is a lift of $g$, and since reduction along $B \rightarrow A$ commute with the quotient morphisms induced by $V \rightarrow \bar{V}^{\prime}$, the image $\bar{h}^{\prime}$ of $h$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\bar{V}_{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P} . \bar{V}_{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \bar{V}_{B}^{\prime}\right)$ is a lift of the element $\bar{g}^{\prime}$ from Step 1. Therefore the diagram of 5.11 gives

$$
\bar{h}^{\prime[1]}=\phi\left(\bar{g}^{\prime}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\overline{( }_{B}^{[1]}, \mathrm{P} \cdot \overline{\bar{V}}_{B}^{[1]}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \cdot \bar{V}_{B}^{\prime[1]}\right) .
$$

By the definitions of the perfect pairing $\bar{\beta}_{B}$, of $h$, and of the isomorphism $\bar{\beta}_{B, *}$ from 5.10, it follows that, for any $u \in V_{B}^{[1]}$ and $v \in V_{B}$,

$$
\beta_{B}\left(h^{[1]} \cdot u, h \cdot v\right)=\bar{\beta}_{B}\left(\bar{h}^{\prime[1]} \cdot \bar{u}, \bar{h} \cdot \bar{v}\right)=\bar{\beta}_{B}\left(\phi\left(\bar{g}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \cdot \phi\left(\bar{g}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \bar{u}, \bar{v}\right)=\bar{\beta}_{B}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})=\beta_{B}(u, v),
$$

where $\bar{u} \in \bar{V}_{B}^{\prime[1]}$ and $\bar{v} \in \bar{V}_{B}$ are the images of $u$ and $v$, respectively. Therefore $h$ preserves $\beta_{B}$. Together with its properties from Step 2, this shows that $h$ lies in $G(B)$ and is a lift of $g \in G(A)$.

As a first consequence, this gives a modular interpretation to the reduced subscheme of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}$ :

Proof. That " $\subseteq$ " is 5.4. That " $\supseteq$ " follows from 5.12: since Aut $_{\left(V, \beta, P^{\prime}, V\right)}$ is reduced, its closed immersion into Aut $_{(V, \beta)}$ factors through the reduced subscheme.

Second, the following shows that the exponent of the nilpotent members in the automorphism group scheme is determined by the number of Frobenius twists required before the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration is canonically defined; compare with 3.11 :
5.14. Corollary. - Let $v$ be such that every piece of the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$-filtration of $(V, \beta)$ can be defined on $V^{[\nu]}$ in terms of $\beta^{[\nu]}$. Then the quotient of $\operatorname{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}$ by its $q^{\nu}$-power Frobenius kernel is reduced.

Proof. Comparing 5.2 and 5.3, the hypothesis implies that the $q^{\nu}$-power Frobenius of Aut ${ }_{(V, \beta)}$ factors through Aut $_{\left(V^{[\nu]}, \beta^{[\nu]}, P^{\prime} V^{[\nu]}\right)}$. Since the latter is reduced by 5.12 , so is the image of the former.

Finally, the main application is to compute dimensions of automorphism groups of $q$-bic forms in terms of the numerical invariants defined in 3.9:
5.15. Theorem. - Let $(V, \beta)$ be a $q$-bic form over a field $\mathbf{k}$ of type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}=\sum_{k \geq 1}\left[k\left(b_{2 k-1}^{2}+b_{2 k}^{2}\right)+\left(a+\sum_{m \geq 2 k} m b_{m}\right) b_{2 k-1}+2 k\left(\sum_{m \geq 2 k+1} b_{m}\right) b_{2 k}\right] .
$$

Proof. If $\beta$ is nondegenerate, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Aut}_{(V, \beta)}=0$ follows from the Lie algebra computation 5.5. Otherwise, replace ( $V, \beta$ ) by a sufficiently large Frobenius twist to assume that the $\perp^{[\cdot]}$ filtration descends over $\mathbf{k}$; this is possible by 3.12 , and allowable since the automorphism group scheme of the
twist of $(V, \beta)$ is the twist of the automorphism group scheme $(V, \beta)$ and are therefore of the same dimension. Since dimension is insensitive to nilpotents, 5.13 gives

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{A u t}_{(V, \beta)}}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{A u t}_{(V, \beta), \text { red }}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{A u t}\left(V, \beta,, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}, V\right) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Aut}_{\left(V, \beta, P^{\prime}, V\right)}$ is smooth by 5.12 , its dimension is that of its Lie algebra. With a choice of identification between $V$ and its associated graded for the filtration P. $V, 5.5$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Lie~Aut~}_{\left(V, \beta, \mathrm{P}_{!}^{\prime} V\right)} & \cong \\
& \left(\bigoplus_{\ell \geq 1} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \ell-1}^{\prime} V / \mathrm{P}_{2 \ell-3}^{\prime} V, \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{2 \ell-1}^{\prime} V\right)\right) \\
& \oplus\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{+}^{\prime} V / \mathrm{P}_{-}^{\prime} V, \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{+}^{\prime} V\right)\right) \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{\ell \geq 1} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\mathrm{P}_{2 \ell-2}^{\prime} V / \mathrm{P}_{2 \ell}^{\prime} V, \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{2 \ell-2}^{\prime} V\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{P}_{-}^{\prime} V$ and $\mathrm{P}_{+}^{\prime} V$ are, analogous to their unprimed counterparts from 3.1, the limits of the increasing odd and decreasing even pieces of P.' $V$, respectively. Express the dimension of each parenthesized term in terms of $a$ and the $b_{i}$ using the formulae from 3.7 and 3.9 , and the symmetry relation 3.6: The easiest is the central summand, with dimension

$$
a \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{+}^{\prime} V=a \sum_{k \geq 1} b_{2 k-1}
$$

Next, the first parenthesized term has dimension

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell \geq 1} a_{2 \ell-1} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{2 \ell-1}^{\prime} V=\sum_{\ell \geq 1}\left(\sum_{m \geq 2 \ell-1} b_{m}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{2 k-1}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{k \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\ell \geq k} \sum_{m \geq 2 \ell-1} b_{m}\right) b_{2 k-1}=\sum_{k \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\ell \geq k}(\ell-k+1)\left(b_{2 \ell-1}+b_{2 \ell}\right)\right) b_{2 k-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the third parenthesized term has dimension

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell \geq 1} a_{2 \ell} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}_{1} V \cap \mathrm{P}_{2 \ell-2}^{\prime} V=\sum_{\ell \geq 1}\left(\sum_{m \geq 2 \ell} b_{m}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{2 k-1}+\sum_{m \geq 2 \ell} b_{m}\right) \\
&=\sum_{k \geq 1}\left(\sum_{\ell \geq k}(\ell-k+1)\left(b_{2 \ell}+b_{2 \ell+1}\right)\right) b_{2 k-1}+\sum_{\ell \geq 1}\left(\sum_{m \geq 2 \ell} b_{m}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The final sum of squares term here may be written as

$$
\sum_{k \geq 1} k\left(\left(b_{2 k}+2 \sum_{m \geq 2 k+1} b_{m}\right) b_{2 k}+\left(b_{2 k+1}+2 \sum_{m \geq 2 k+2} b_{m}\right) b_{2 k+1}\right)
$$

Adding the expressions together gives the claimed formula.
It is interesting and useful to disentangle this formula to see how dimensions of automorphism groups grow under sums of $q$-bic forms:
5.16. Corollary. - Let $(V, \beta)$ and $(W, \gamma)$ be $q$-bic forms over $\mathbf{k}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{A u t}_{(V \oplus W, \beta \oplus \gamma)}=\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{A u t}_{(V, \beta)}+\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{A u t}_{(W, \gamma)}+\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{2 k-1}\right) c+\sum_{m \geq 1} \Phi_{m}(\beta) d_{m}
$$

where $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are of types $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ and $\left(c ; d_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$, respectively, and

$$
\Phi_{m}(\beta):= \begin{cases}\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} V+b_{2 k-1}+2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1}(k-\ell) b_{2 \ell-1} & \text { if } m=2 k-1, \text { and } \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} 2 \ell b_{2 \ell}+2 k\left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} b_{2 \ell-1}+\sum_{\ell \geq k} b_{2 \ell}\right) & \text { if } m=2 k .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. This follows directly from 5.15 together with the formulae of 3.9.

The parameter space for $q$-bic forms on a fixed $n$-dimensional vector space $V$ over the field $\mathbf{k}$ is given by the $n^{2}$-dimensional affine space

$$
q-\operatorname{bics}_{V}:=\mathbf{A}\left(V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V\right)^{\vee}:={\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{Sym}^{*}\left(V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V\right) . . . . .}
$$

Multiplication in the symmetric algebra induces the universal $q$-bic form

$$
\beta_{\text {univ }}: V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathscr{O}_{q-\text { bics }_{V}} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{q-\text { bics }_{V}}
$$

In particular, $q$ - bics $_{V}$ represents the functor $\operatorname{Sch}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{opp}} \rightarrow$ Set that sends

$$
X \mapsto\left\{\beta: V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathscr{O}_{X} \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X} \text { a } q \text {-bic form over } \mathscr{O}_{X}\right\}
$$

a k-scheme $X$ to the set of $q$-bic forms on $V$ over $\mathscr{O}_{X}$. The linear action of $\mathbf{G L}_{V}$ on $V^{[1]} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} V$ induces a schematic action of the algebraic group $\mathrm{GL}_{V}$ on $q$-bics ${ }_{V}$. By the Classification Theorem 4.1, the orbits of this action consist of the finitely many locally closed subschemes

$$
q-\operatorname{bics}_{V, \mathbf{b}}:=\left\{[\beta] \in q-\text { bics }_{V} \mid \operatorname{type}(\beta)=\mathbf{b}\right\}
$$

parameterizing $q$-bic forms with a given type $\mathbf{b}=\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$, where $a+\sum_{m \geq 1} m b_{m}=n$ as in 3.9. Together, these form the type stratification of the affine space $q$-bics ${ }_{V}$. This refines the natural stratification by corank.

Let $\mathrm{Aut}_{(V, \mathbf{b})}$ denote the automorphism group scheme of the standard form of type $\mathbf{b}$, as in 1.3 ; note that the automorphism group scheme of any other form of type $\mathbf{b}$ over $\mathbf{k}$ will be a form of $\mathbf{A u t}_{(V, \mathbf{b})}$ over $\mathbf{k}$. Since the type strata are orbits under an algebraic group, they enjoy the following standard properties, see, for example, [Mil17, Propositions 1.65, 1.66, and 7.12]:
6.1. Proposition. - Each stratum $q$-bics ${ }_{V, \mathrm{~b}}$ is a smooth, irreducible, locally closed subscheme of $q$-bics ${ }_{V}$ of codimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{Aut}_{(V, \mathbf{b})}$, and its closure is a union of type stratum of strictly smaller dimension.

This induces a partial ordering amongst types of $q$-bic forms on $V$ : write $\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ if and only if the closure of $q$-bics ${ }_{V, \mathbf{b}}$ contains $q$-bics ${ }_{V, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}}$. Simple observations: First, the maximal and minimal types are those corresponding to $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus n}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{1}^{\oplus n}$, respectively. Second, by comparing dimensions with 5.15 , it follows that the maximal type in the codimension $c^{2}$ locus of corank $c$ forms is

$$
\begin{cases}\mathbf{1}^{\oplus n-2 c} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2}^{\oplus c} & \text { if } 0 \leq c \leq n / 2, \text { and } \\ \mathbf{N}_{1}^{\oplus 2 c-n} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2}^{\oplus n-c} & \text { if } n / 2 \leq c \leq n\end{cases}
$$

Third, by dividing out radicals, it follows that the subposet of types containing $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ is isomorphic to the poset of $q$-bic types on a vector space of dimension 1 less.

The goal of this Section is to characterize this partial ordering. As a first step, rephrase this in terms of specialization relations for $q$-bic forms: $\mathbf{b} \geq \mathbf{b}^{\prime}$ if and only if for some—equivalently, for any-pair of $q$-bic forms $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ on $V$ of types $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}$, respectively, there exists a discrete valuation ring $R$ over $\mathbf{k}$, a $q$-bic form $(M, \gamma)$ over $R$, and isomorphisms

$$
\left(V_{K}, \beta_{K}\right) \cong\left(M_{K}, \gamma_{K}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(V_{\kappa}, \beta_{\kappa}^{\prime}\right) \cong\left(M_{K}, \gamma_{K}\right)
$$

as $q$-bic forms over the fraction field $K$ and residue field $\kappa$ of $R$, respectively. Denote this situation by $\beta \rightsquigarrow \beta^{\prime}$ and say that $\beta$ specializes to $\beta^{\prime}$.

The remainder of this Section will be phrased in terms of specialization relations amongst $q$-bic forms on $V$, and the goal is to determine necessary and sufficient conditions for a specialization $\beta \rightsquigarrow \beta^{\prime}$ to exist. A sequence of necessary conditions is obtained by combining the summation formula 5.16 with the fact that boundary strata in the closure have smaller dimension:
6.2. Proposition. - If there exists a specialization $\beta \rightsquigarrow \beta^{\prime}$, then $\Phi_{m}(\beta) \leq \Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Specializing subforms induces $\beta \oplus \gamma \rightsquigarrow \beta^{\prime} \oplus \gamma$ for all $q$-bic forms $(W, \gamma)$. Thus 6.1 gives

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{A u t}_{(V \oplus W, \beta \oplus \gamma)} \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Aut}_{\left(V \oplus W, \beta^{\prime} \oplus \gamma\right)} .
$$

According to 5.16, the dimensions of the automorphism groups grow linearly in the invariants of $\gamma$, so comparing coefficients of $d_{m}$ gives the result.

The following constructs a collection of basic specializations amongst standard $q$-bic forms:
6.3. Lemma. - Let $s \geq t \geq 1$ be integers. There exists specializations of $q$-bic forms:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{N}_{2 s+1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{2 s} \rightsquigarrow>1 \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1}, \quad \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{2 s}, \\
\mathbf{N}_{2 s-2 t} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2 t+2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{2 s+1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2 t-1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2 t+1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let $R$ be a discrete valuation ring over $\mathbf{k}$ with uniformizing parameter $\pi$, residue field $\mathbf{k}$, and fraction field $K$. Let $M:=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R \cdot e_{i}$ be a free $R$-module of rank $n$, and let $\gamma$ be a $q$-bic form on $M$ determined by one of the following Gram matrices:

Then the reduction of $\gamma$ modulo $\pi$ yields a $q$-bic form of type

$$
\mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1}, \quad \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{2 s}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2 t+2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s}, \quad \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2 t+1},
$$

respectively. To conclude, by 4.1, it suffices to compute the $\perp$-filtration of $\beta:=\gamma \otimes_{R} K$ on $V:=M \otimes_{R} K$; do this by noting that, for each $k \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} V=\beta^{-1}\left(\left(V / \mathrm{P}_{2 k-2} V\right)^{[1], \vee}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(V / \mathrm{P}_{2 k} V\right)^{\vee}=\operatorname{im}\left(\beta^{\vee}: \mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} V^{[1]} \rightarrow V^{\vee}\right) . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the first three Gram matrices. Comparing with 3.4 shows that $\mathrm{P}_{1} V=\left\langle e_{1}\right\rangle$, and that the maps $\beta: V \rightarrow V^{[1], \vee}$ and $\beta^{\vee}: V^{[1]} \rightarrow V^{\vee}$ satisfy

$$
\beta: e_{i} \mapsto e_{i-1}^{[1], \vee} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\vee}: e_{i}^{[1]} \mapsto e_{i+1}^{\vee} \quad \text { for each } 1 \leq i \leq 2 s-2,
$$

where $e_{0}:=0$. Therefore $\mathrm{P}_{2 k-1} V=\bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{k} K \cdot e_{2 \ell-1}$ and $V / \mathrm{P}_{2 k} V \cong \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{k} K \cdot e_{2 \ell}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq s-1$. Now consider each case in turn:

The first Gram matrix satisfies $\beta: e_{2 s-1} \mapsto e_{2 s-2}^{[1], \vee}, \beta^{\vee}: e_{2 s-1}^{[1]} \mapsto \pi e_{2 s}^{\vee}$, and $\beta: e_{2 s+1} \mapsto e_{2 s}^{[1], v}$. Since $\pi$ is invertible in $K$, these combined with (6.4) respectively imply

$$
\mathrm{P}_{2 s-1} V=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{s} K \cdot e_{2 k-1}, \quad V / \mathrm{P}_{2 s} V \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^{s} K \cdot e_{2 k}, \quad \mathrm{P}_{2 s+1} V=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{s+1} K \cdot e_{2 k-1}
$$

and so $\beta$ is of type $\mathbf{N}_{2 s+1}$. A similar computation applies to the second Gram matrix to show that, in that case, $\beta$ is of type $\mathbf{N}_{2 s}$.

The third Gram matrix satisfies $\beta: e_{2 s-1} \mapsto e_{2 s-2}^{[1], V}+\pi e_{2 s}^{[1], V}$ so neither $e_{2 s-2}^{[11], V}$ nor $e_{2 s}^{[1], V}$ lies in the image of $\beta$. Therefore the $\perp$-filtration has length $2 s-2$ and

$$
\mathrm{P}_{+} V / \mathrm{P}_{-} V=\mathrm{P}_{2 s-2} V / \mathrm{P}_{2 s-3} V \cong K \cdot e_{2 s-1} \oplus K \cdot e_{2 s}
$$

meaning that $\beta$ is of type $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathrm{~N}_{2 s-2}$.
Completely analogous computations show that for the fourth and fifth Gram matrices, $\beta$ is of type $\mathbf{N}_{2 s-2 t} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2}$ and $\mathbf{N}_{2 s+1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2 t-1}$, respectively.

Figure 2. Immediate specialization relations amongst for 6-dimensional $q$-bic forms, up to the first few with nontrivial radical.

For each $m \geq 1$ and $q$-bic form $\beta$ of type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$, write $\Theta_{m}(\beta):=\sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{2 k-1}$. The following gives a partial converse to 6.2. The result is almost enough to completely determine the closure relations in $q$-bics ${ }_{V}$ up to dimension 6: see [Che22, 3.1.2, 3.4.3, and 3.8.2] for dimensions $\leq 4$, and Figures 1 and 2 for dimensions 5 and 6, respectively, where $\mathbf{0}$ is written in place of $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ for emphasis. See 6.9 for additional comments.
6.5. Proposition. - Let $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ be $q$-bic forms of type $\left(a ; b_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ and $\left(a^{\prime} ; b_{m}^{\prime}\right)_{m \geq 1}$, respectively. If $\Phi_{m}(\beta) \leq \Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Theta_{m}(\beta) \leq \Theta_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m \geq 1$, then there exists a specialization $\beta \leadsto \beta^{\prime}$.

Proof. For the arguments below, it is convenient to replace $\Phi_{m}$ from 5.16 by

$$
\Psi_{m}(\beta):= \begin{cases}b_{2 k-1}+2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1}(k-\ell) b_{2 \ell-1} & \text { if } m=2 k-1, \text { and } \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \ell b_{2 \ell}+k\left(\sum_{\ell \geq 1} b_{2 \ell-1}+\sum_{\ell \geq k} b_{2 \ell}\right) & \text { if } m=2 k\end{cases}
$$

in which redundant constants are removed, so that $\Phi_{m}(\beta) \leq \Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $\Psi_{m}(\beta) \leq \Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$.
The argument proceeds in steps. Each time, 6.3 is applied to a subform of $\beta$ to produce an intermediate specialization $\beta^{\prime \prime}$. The new form will satisfy $\Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m$, together with successively stronger conditions that, in particular, imply $\Theta_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \Theta_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m$. Replace $\beta$ by $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ and continue in this manner until $\Psi_{m}(\beta)=\Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m$. This implies that $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ are of the same type, at which point the Proposition follows from the Classification Theorem 4.1.

In what follows, abbreviate $\Psi_{m}(\beta), \Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$, and $\Psi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to $\Psi_{m}, \Psi_{m}^{\prime}$, and $\Psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}$; similarly for $\Theta_{m}$, etc.
Step 1. After a sequence of specializations of the form

$$
\mathbf{N}_{2 s+1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1}
$$

may assume that $b_{2 k-1} \leq b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ for every $k \geq 1$.
The inequalities $\Psi_{2 k-1} \leq \Psi_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ imply that $b_{2 k-1}$ must be smaller than $b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ the first time they differ. This implies: if $s$ is minimal such that $b_{2 s+1}>b_{2 s+1}^{\prime}$, then $\Psi_{2 s-1}<\Psi_{2 s-1}^{\prime}$ and $\Theta_{s}<\Theta_{s}^{\prime}$. The former inductively implies that $\Psi_{2 k-1}<\Psi_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ for every $k \geq s$ since

$$
\Psi_{2 k+1}-\Psi_{2 k-1}=\Theta_{k+1}+\Theta_{k-1} \leq \Theta_{k+1}^{\prime}+\Theta_{k-1}^{\prime}=\Psi_{2 k+1}^{\prime}-\Psi_{2 k-1}^{\prime}
$$

upon using the inequalities $\Theta_{m} \leq \Theta_{m}^{\prime}$ for $m=k-1$ and $m=k+1$. The latter shows that this inequality is strict for $k=s+1$, implying that, furthermore, $\Psi_{2 k+1}+1<\Psi_{2 k+1}^{\prime}$ for all $k \geq s+1$. So if $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ is obtained from $\beta$ via a specialization of the form $\mathbf{N}_{2 s+1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1}$, then

$$
\Psi_{m}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\Psi_{2 k-1}+1 & \text { if } m=2 s-1 \text { or } m=2 s+1, \\
\Psi_{2 k-1}+2 & \text { if } m=2 k-1>2 s+1, \\
\Psi_{m} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad \Theta_{m}^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}\Theta_{s}+1 & \text { if } m=s \\
\Theta_{m} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

and so with the inequalities above, this implies $\Psi_{m}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{m}^{\prime}$ and $\Theta_{m}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Theta_{m}^{\prime}$ for all $m$.

Step 2. After a sequence of specializations of the form

$$
\mathbf{N}_{2 s} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2 s-2 t+1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 t-1} \quad \text { or } \quad \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2 t-2 s-1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{2 t-1},
$$

may assume that $b_{2 k-1}=b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ for every $k \geq 1$.
From now on, assume that $b_{2 k-1} \leq b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ for all $k \geq 1$, superseding the inequalities $\Theta_{m} \leq \Theta_{m}^{\prime}$. Note this also implies $\Psi_{2 k-1} \leq \Psi_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ for all $k$, so it will suffice to verify the inequalities $\Psi_{2 k} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$. To begin this Step, suppose first that the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq m} b_{2 k} \leq \sum_{k \geq m} b_{2 k}^{\prime} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied for every $m \geq 1$. Comparing the formulae from 3.9 for $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{k}} V$ in terms of the invariants of $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$, and successively applying the inequalities (6.6) for increasing $m$ gives the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a^{\prime}+\sum_{i \geq 1} i\left(b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i}\right) \geq a^{\prime}+\sum_{k \geq 1}(2 k-1)\left(b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}-b_{2 k-1}\right) . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t$ be any index such that $b_{2 t-1}<b_{2 t-1}^{\prime}$. Then (6.7) implies $a \geq 2 t-1$, meaning $\beta$ contains a subform of type $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2 t-1}$. Let $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained via a specialization $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2 t-1} \mathrm{~m} \mathbf{N}_{2 t-1}$. The choice of $t$ ensures that $b_{2 k-1}^{\prime \prime} \leq b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ for all $k$. Combined with the $m=1$ case of (6.6), this implies that

$$
\Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{i \geq 1} b_{i}^{\prime \prime} \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} b_{i}^{\prime}=\Psi_{2}^{\prime} .
$$

Then, for each $k \geq 1$, the $m=k+1$ case of (6.6) gives inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2 k+2}^{\prime \prime}-\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{\ell \geq 1} b_{2 \ell-1}^{\prime \prime}+\sum_{\ell \geq k+1} b_{2 \ell}^{\prime \prime} \leq \sum_{\ell \geq 1} b_{2 \ell-1}^{\prime}+\sum_{\ell \geq k+1} b_{2 \ell}^{\prime}=\Psi_{2 k+2}^{\prime}-\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime} . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Starting from $\Psi_{2}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{2}^{\prime}$, this inductively implies that $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for all $k \geq 1$.
It remains to consider the situation when at least one of the inequalities in (6.6) fails. Since $b_{2 k}=b_{2 k}^{\prime}=0$ for large $k$, there is a maximal $s$ such that

$$
\sum_{k \geq s} b_{2 k}>\sum_{k \geq s} b_{2 k}^{\prime} .
$$

Comparing this with (6.6) where $m=s+1$ gives $b_{2 s}>b_{2 s}^{\prime}$. Now consider two cases:
Case 2A. There exists $t \leq s$ such that $b_{2 t-1}<b_{2 t-1}^{\prime}$.
Let $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ be any specialization of $\beta$ obtained via $\mathbf{N}_{2 s} \leadsto \mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2 s-2 t+1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 t-1}$. Then $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{2 k} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for all $k \leq s$. Step 1 together with maximality of $s$ implies that (6.8) holds for all $k \geq s$, which then inductively implies that $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ also holds for $k>s$.

Case 2B. Every $k$ for which $b_{2 k-1}<b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}$ satisfies $k>s$.
Applying the inequality $\Psi_{2 s}^{\prime}-\Psi_{2 s} \geq 0$ twice to the middle term of (6.7) gives the inequality

$$
a \geq a^{\prime}+\sum_{k \geq s+1}(2 k-2 s)\left(b_{2 k}^{\prime}-b_{2 k}\right)+\sum_{k \geq 1}(2 k-2 s-1)\left(b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}-b_{2 k-1}\right) .
$$

The first sum on the right is nonnegative by (6.6) and maximality of $s$. The assumption in this case implies that the second sum is nonnegative, and that if $t$ is any index such that $b_{2 t-1}<b_{2 t-1}^{\prime}$, then in fact $a \geq 2 t-2 s-1>0$. In other words, $\beta$ contains $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2 t-2 s-1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s}$ as a subform; let $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained by specializing such a subform to $\mathbf{N}_{2 t-1}$. Arguing as above shows that $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for all $k$.

Step 3. After a sequence of specializations of the form

$$
\mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{2 s}
$$

may assume that $\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{2 k}=\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{2 k}^{\prime}$.
After Step 2, by passing to subforms, it may be assumed that $b_{2 k-1}=b_{2 k-1}^{\prime}=0$ for all $k$.

Let $s \geq 1$ be maximal such that $\Psi_{2 s-2}=\Psi_{2 s-2}^{\prime}$, setting $\Psi_{0}=\Psi_{0}^{\prime}=0$ so that the maximum always exists. If $s=\max \left\{k \mid b_{2 k-2} \neq 0\right.$ or $\left.b_{2 k-2}^{\prime} \neq 0\right\}$, then this is equivalent to $\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{2 k}=\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{2 k}^{\prime}$, as required. Otherwise, $\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{2 k}<\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{2 k}^{\prime}$, so combined with the first equation in (6.7),

$$
a=a^{\prime}+2 \sum_{k \geq 1} k\left(b_{2 k}^{\prime}-b_{2 k}\right) \geq 2
$$

Since $\Psi_{2 k} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for all $k$ with strict inequality for $k \geq s$, it follows that

$$
b_{2 s-2}=2 \Psi_{2 s-2}-\Psi_{2 s-4}-\Psi_{2 s}>2 \Psi_{2 s-2}^{\prime}-\Psi_{2 s-4}^{\prime}-\Psi_{2 s}^{\prime}=b_{2 s-2}^{\prime}
$$

Thus, in this case, $\beta$ contains a subform of type $\mathbf{1}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2}$; let $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ be obtained by specializing it to a form of type $\mathbf{N}_{2 s}$. Then $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{2 k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq s-1$ and $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{2 k}+1$ for $k \geq s$, so maximality of $s$, implies $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for all $k$.
Step 4. After a sequence of specializations of the form

$$
\mathbf{N}_{2 s} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2}
$$

may assume that $\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{2 k}=\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{2 k}^{\prime}$.
Let $s \geq 1$ be minimal such that $\Psi_{2 s}=\Psi_{2 s}^{\prime}$. If $s=1$, this is equivalent to the desired equality. Otherwise, as in Step 3,

$$
b_{2 s}=2 \Psi_{2 s}-\Psi_{2 s-2}-\Psi_{2 s+2}>2 \Psi_{2 s}^{\prime}-\Psi_{2 s-2}^{\prime}-\Psi_{2 s+2}^{\prime}=b_{2 s}^{\prime} .
$$

Therefore $\beta$ contains a subform of type $\mathbf{N}_{2 s}$; specialize it to $\mathbf{N}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2}$ to obtain $\beta^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{2 k}+1$ for $1 \leq k<s$ and $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{2 k}$ for $k \geq s$, so the choice of $s$ implies $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for all $k$.
Step 5. After a sequence of specializations of the form

$$
\mathbf{N}_{2 t} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s} m>\mathbf{N}_{2 t+2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2}
$$

where $s>t$, may assume that $\Psi_{m}=\Psi_{m}^{\prime}$ for every $m \geq 1$.
Consider any pair $s>t$ such that $\Psi_{2 t}=\Psi_{2 t}^{\prime}, \Psi_{2 s}=\Psi_{2 s}^{\prime}$, and $\Psi_{2 k}<\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for each $t<k<s$. Arguing as in Steps 3 and 4, this implies that $b_{2 s}>b_{2 s}^{\prime}$ and $b_{2 t}>b_{2 t}^{\prime}$, so that $\beta$ contains $\mathbf{N}_{2 t} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s}$; specialize this to $\mathbf{N}_{2 t+2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s-2}$ to obtain $\beta^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{2 k}+1$ for $t<k<s$, and $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime}=\Psi_{2 k}$ otherwise. By choice of $s$ and $t$, this implies that $\Psi_{2 k}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Psi_{2 k}^{\prime}$ for all $k$.
6.9. Remarks. - First, the hypotheses of 6.5 may be relaxed: it is enough that there is some specialization $\beta \rightsquigarrow \beta^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\Theta_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \Theta_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ for all $m$. For instance, the specialization $\mathbf{N}_{3}^{\oplus 2} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{5}$ found at the right end of Figure 2 does not satisfy the inequality $\Theta_{2}$, but nonetheless exists by 6.3; more generally, any immediate specialization via

$$
\mathbf{N}_{2 s+1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2 t-1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{N}_{2 s-1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{2 s+2 t+1}
$$

the fifth basic specialization of 6.3 , will violate some of the inequalities $\Theta_{m}$. Note further that the proof of 6.5 did not incorporate these specializations at all.

Second, there are examples of $q$-bic forms $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ that satisfy the inequalities $\Phi_{m}(\beta) \leq \Phi_{m}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$, but cannot be specialized to one another via a sequence of basic specializations from 6.3. The first examples appear in dimension 15 and corank 3; for instance, consider

$$
\beta:=\mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{3}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{8} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime}:=\mathbf{N}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{N}_{7}^{\oplus 2} .
$$

I do not know whether or not $\beta$ specializes to $\beta^{\prime}$.
To go further, it would be interesting to study $q$-bic forms over discrete valuation rings and the fine geometry of the closure of $q$-bics ${ }_{V, b}$. For the former, it may be helpful to reformulate the canonical filtrations in terms of quotients so as to deal with jumps in types upon specialization. For the latter, it would be interesting to know the degrees and singularities of these varieties.
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